

From the desk of Rodney Stich

P.O. Box 5, Alamo, CA 94507; phone: 925-944-1930; FAX 925-295-1203

Activist, and author of *Defrauding America*, *Drugging America*, *Unfriendly Skies*, *Terrorism Against America*
Blowback, *9-11*, *Iraq*, and *Cover-ups* E-mail: stich@unfriendlyskies.com

January 30, 2004

Justice William Rehnquist

U.S. Supreme Court

1 First Street, NE

Washington, DC 20543

Certified # 7002 0860 0003 9592 7228

Ref: Details on the latest actions by federal judges blocking the reporting of federal crimes being reported under the mandatory requirements of Title 18 U.S.C. § 4.

To Justice Rehnquist:

Continuing the practice of many years, I am sending this letter to you and the other Justices of the U.S. Supreme Court as it relates to the serious misconduct by certain federal judges who continue to block the reporting of criminal activities, initially discovered by me and several other former government agents, that are being reported under the federal crime reporting statute (18 U.S.C. § 4). Among the national security matters affected by the misconduct that we discovered were the conditions enabling hijackers to seize four airliners on September 11, 2001.

I based my statements upon direct knowledge, some of which I *initially* discovered as a federal aviation safety agent in a key government position, my many sources in various government offices, and various government records.

Latest Federal Offenses by Federal Judges in the Second Circuit at New York City

The latest tactics by federal judges that continue the long series of actions blocking the reporting of these serious matters are being perpetrated by federal judges in the district and appellate courts of the Second Circuit in New York City.¹ The following are highlights of these latest tactics:

- I submitted a federal filing to the U.S. district court on August 8, 2002, seeking to report the corrupt and criminal acts, and addressing the closely associated massive civil and constitutional violations used to halt my reporting of these crimes. The court received the filing on August 13, 2002, along with the filing fees and required papers in proper order. Federal rules, including federal criminal statutes and civil procedures, plus the urgency raised by the contents of that filing, required that the papers be promptly filed upon being received. Instead, the filing was blocked for 13 months, during which time Chief Judge Michael Mukasey prepared a five-page sua sponte dismissal order that was concurrently filed with the delayed filing of the Complaint on September 22, 2003.
- The dismissal order blocked reporting serious criminal matters having catastrophic consequences on national security, violated important constitutional due process rights, misstated the facts, omitted key facts, and violated various criminal statutes by blocking reports of federal crimes that enabled years of catastrophic consequences to occur.
- Further, Judge Mukasey had the benefit of knowing the deadly consequences of prior obstruction of justice in these same matters, including the 3,000 deaths on 9-11. Based upon the facts that I have

¹ Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some **judge** or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

accumulated over the years, starting while I held a key aviation safety position² in the federal government, prior similar coverups by federal judges made possible a series of catastrophic consequences affecting multiple areas of national security.

- Judges in the U.S. Court of Appeals at New York City then expanded on these federal offenses. I filed a timely notice of appeal and was given a briefing schedule requiring that I submit my opening brief by January 12, 2004. That brief was received by the court on January 7, 2004, with a copy to the office of the U.S. Attorney, who was then made aware of these serious charges. Instead of filing the brief, it was withheld from court records. In response to my inquiry (January 21, 2004) as to why I had not received a file-stamped copy of the brief, the clerk who answered the phone at the court of appeals acknowledged that the brief had *not been filed* and didn't know when it would be. This was another in the long series of obstruction of justice tactics by federal judges criminalizing the federal courts, and arguably meeting the legal criteria of a criminal enterprise.

Earlier Post 9-11 Judicial Misconduct by Federal Judges in Washington, DC Circuit

An earlier attempt to report the criminal misconduct most responsible for the events of September 11, 2001, involved judge Henry Kennedy in the U.S. district court at Washington, DC. He blocked my lawsuit³ from proceeding, blocked the reporting of these criminal activities, by his sua sponte dismissal shortly after the Complaint was filed.

In response to my timely notice of appeal⁴ federal appellate judges⁵ in the U.S. Court of Appeals at Washington, DC. issued an order (January 16, 2003) blocking me from filing an appeal brief, denying me access to the court, on the holding that a prior order 12 years earlier, in 1991, by former judge Stanley Sporkin (former CIA legal counsel), permanently terminated my access to the federal courts. That obviously unlawful and unconstitutional order—intended to block earlier reports of criminal activities implicating people in government offices—arose in one of several earlier attempts to report federal crimes to a federal court under the mandatory requirement of Title 18 U.S.C. § 4.

Judicial Misconduct Immediately Prior to the Catastrophic Events of September 11, 2001

The latest tactics—immediately prior to the catastrophic events of September 11, 2001—that blocked the reporting of conditions that would soon result in 3,000 deaths, occurred in the Ninth Circuit courts. I had sought to report the criminal activities that I and a group of other former government agents⁶ had discovered, and was again blocked. I filed a lawsuit in the U.S. district court at Reno, Nevada⁷ that was assigned to U.S. district judge Edward C. Reed. Instead of receiving the information which he had an administrative duty to do under 18 U.S.C. § 4, and addressing the multiple violations of federally protected rights that were part of the scheme to block my reports, he continued the long practice by federal judges of blocking these reports and dismissed the lawsuit.

I promptly filed notices of appeal, paid the filing fees, and prepared an opening brief. Again, Ninth Circuit appellate judges⁸ blocked my access to the appellate courts, claiming that a 1991 order filed by U.S. district judge Marilyn Patel permanently barred me access to district and appellate courts

2 During my position as a federal air carrier operations inspector I was given the assignment to correct the conditions enabling the worst series of airline crashes in the nation's history. I also held the most senior government hands-on safety position for the world's largest airline. In addition, I was a navy patrol plane commander and instructor in World War II, an international airline captain for many years, and the author of numerous highly sophisticated books on aviation matters (plus other books detailing corrupt federal employees).

3 Rodney Stich v. U.S. Government, et al Nr. 1:02CV01172, filed on June 12, 2002. Received by the court on May 10, 2002, and the filing was initially blocked.

4 Court of Appeals docket number 02-5240

5 Court of Appeal judges in the District of Columbia, Douglas H. Ginsburg; David B. Sentelle; A. Raymond Randolph.

6 Agents providing years of insider information were from the FBI, DEA, ONI, FAA, Customs, and elsewhere.

7 U.S. district court, Reno, NV, case number CV-N-00-152-ECR (PHA)

8 Ninth Circuit appellate judges James R. Browning, Andrew Kleinfeld, Gould, April 12, 2002.

This documented misconduct, associated with many deaths and enabling catastrophic consequences to occur to national security, constitutes federal crimes, including for instance Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 2, 3, 4, 35, 111, 153, 241, 242, 245(b)(1)(B), 371, 1341, 1343, 1503, 1505, 1512, 1513(b), 1515(a); 1346, and more specifically:

- Obstruction of justice.
- Conspiracy to commit crimes against the United States.
- **Title 18 U.S.C. § 2. Principals.** (a) Whoever commits an offense against the United States or aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures its commission, is punishable as a principal. (b) Whoever willfully causes an act to be done which if directly performed by him or another would be an offense against the United States, is punishable as a principal.
 - [Federal judges repeatedly perpetrated these offenses by blocking the reports by former federal agents of criminal activities.]
- **Title 18 U.S.C. § 3. Accessory after the fact.** Whoever, knowing that an offense against the United States had been committed, receives, relieves, comforts or assists the offender in order to hinder or prevent his apprehension, trial or punishment, is an accessory after the fact.
 - [Federal judges repeatedly perpetrated this offense by protecting the people who engaged and are engaging in criminal and subversive acts that I and other former federal agents discovered.]
- **Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony.** Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.
 - [This offense arises out of the concealment of the information of criminal acts against the United States; from blocking such reports; and from misuse of judicial positions and the courts to inflict harm upon former government agents seeking to make reports of federal crimes.]
- **Title 18 U.S.C. § 111. Assaulting, resisting, or impeding certain officers or employees.** (a) In general, Whoever (1) forcibly assaults, resists, opposes, impedes, intimidates, or interferes with any person designated in section 114 of this title [federal agent] while engaged in or on account of the performance of official duties; or (2) forcibly assaults or intimidates any person who formerly served as a person designated in section 1114 on account of the performance of official duties during such person's term of service, shall, where the acts in violation of this section constitute only simply assault, be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both, and in all other cases, be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.
 - Although this offense occurred while I was a key federal aviation safety agent, this letter and previous communications to you relate to offenses perpetrated by federal judges. The offenses included blocking the reports of criminal activities being reported under the federal crime reporting statute (18 U.S.C. § 4); the acts taken by federal judges insuring the success of sham legal actions against me by a CIA-front law firm as part of the scheme that sought to permanently halt my exposure activities; the imprisonment for attempting to make such reports and for exercising federal defenses against the civil rights violations..
- **Title 18 U.S.C. § 153. Embezzlement by trustee or officer.** Whoever knowingly and fraudulently appropriates to his own use, embezzles, spends, or transfers any property or secretes or destroys any document belonging to the estate of a debtor which came into his charge as trustee ... shall be fined ... or imprisoned ... or both.
 - [This statute applies to the trustees and judges who unlawfully, unconstitutionally, and corruptly seized and liquidated my assets, including trustee Charles Duck and federal judges

- Robert Jones and Edward Jellen –assisted by numerous federal district and appellate judges.]
- **Title 18 U.S.C. § 241. Conspiracy against rights of citizens.** If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any citizen in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; ... They shall be fined ... or imprisoned ... or both;
 - This statute was repeatedly violated by federal district and appellate judges who threatened and retaliated against me for seeking to expose crimes against the United States; for exercising my right to expose the crimes; who inflicted great personal and financial harm upon me for having exercised legal and constitutional defenses against the massive violations of federally protected rights; who issues orders permanently terminated my right to federal courts and the rights, protections and defenses guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the United States.]
 - **Title 18 U.S.C. § 242. Deprivation of rights under color of law.** Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person ... to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishment, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, than are prescribed for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; (check if this has been applied against a group, such as whistleblowers).
 - This criminal offense was repeated perpetrated by federal district and appellate judges who repeated deprived me of the defenses guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the United States against massive violations of federally protected rights; who concurrently perpetrated the violations and assisted the corrupt, unlawful, and unconstitutional actions of lawyers for a CIA-front law firm; who issues orders permanently depriving me the right to federal court access and the protections guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the United States..
 - **Title 18 U.S.C. § 245. Federally protected activities.** ((b) Whoever, whether or not acting under color of law, by force or threat of force willfully injures, intimidates or interferes with, or attempts to injure, intimidate or interfere with–(1) Any person because he is or has been, or in order to intimidate such person or any other person or any class of persons [former government agent, whistleblower, witness to federal crimes] from–(B) participating in or enjoying any benefit, service, privilege, program, facility, or activity provided or administered by the United States;
 - The results, and the intent, of the actions by federal judges were to terminate my responsibility, and my right, to report crimes against the United States by people in government positions; my right to the protections and defenses guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the United States, and the right to enjoy the privileges guaranteed by these laws and Constitution.]
 - **Title 18 U.S.C. § 371. Conspiracy to commit offense or to defraud United States.** If two or more persons conspire either to commit any offense against the United States, or to defraud the United States, or any agency thereof, in any manner or for any purpose, and one or more of such persons do any act to effect the object of the conspiracy, each shall be fined ... or imprisoned ...
 - [The United States was defrauded by the actions of the judges, including blocking the reporting of criminal activities; retaliating against a former federal agent and witness for attempting to report criminal acts; subverting the laws and constitution of the United States through corrupt misuse of federal courts and judicial positions. These offenses inflicted great harm upon national security, upon the integrity of government offices, upon the Constitution, and enabled numerous catastrophic consequences to occur, including the events of September 11, 2001, that resulted in 3,000 deaths and numerous peripheral consequences.]

- **Title 18 U.S.C. § 1512. Tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant.** (b) Whoever knowingly uses intimidation or physical force, or threatens another person, or attempts to do so, or engages in misleading conduct toward another person, with intent to (1) influence, delay or prevent the testimony of any person in an official proceeding shall be fined ... or imprisoned ... or both.
 - [This offense was repeatedly perpetrated against me by federal district and appellate judges since 1986, commencing with U.S. district judges Marilyn Patel and Milton Schwartz. They retaliated against me, and threatened me, as was done by other federal judges, to bar me from reporting the deadly criminal and subversive activities and to bar me from defending against the corrupt misuse of judicial positions that were inflicting great and irreparable harm upon me in an effort to block these reports and this exercise of civil and constitutional protections.]
- **Title 18 U.S.C. § 1513. Retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant.** (a) Whoever knowingly engages in any conduct and thereby causes bodily injury to another person or damages the tangible property of another person, or *threatens* to do so, with intent to retaliate against any person for 1) the attendance of a witness or party at an official proceeding, or any testimony given or any record, document, or other object produced by a witness in an official proceeding; or (2) any information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense.
 - [This offense was repeatedly perpetrated by federal judges, as clearly shown by judicial records, since 1986, when I commenced the second stage of attempting to report criminal and subversive activities against the United States.]

The truthfulness of these charges is supported by the following:

- Judicial records showing the continuing judicial obstruction of justice, starting in the late 1970s⁹
- Judicial records showing the repeated violations of substantive and procedural due process, starting in 1983, related to the parallel efforts to halt my reporting of criminal activities that continue to inflict great harm upon national security, including the deaths of many victims arising from the misconduct. The initial violations were to enable a sham lawsuit by lawyers for the CIA-front law firm of Friedman, Sloan and Ross to seize and destroy the \$10 million in assets that funded my attempts to halt the corruption involving people in key government positions and covert operations.
- Judicial records show the commencement of acts by federal judges inflicting great harm upon me through massive violations of state and federal substantive and procedural due protections that accompanied the various tactics used to block the reporting of criminal activities.¹⁰ The misuse of judicial positions in the retaliatory tactics commenced in 1986. Federal judges first issued orders terminating my legal and constitutional right to federal court (and barring me from reporting the criminal activities), and then, with the assistance of Justice Department prosecutors (including David

⁹ *Stich v. United States, et al.*, 554 F.2d 1070 (9th Cir.) (table), *cert. denied*, 434 U.S. 920 (1977)(addressed hard-core air safety misconduct, violations of federal air safety laws, threats against government inspectors not to report safety violations and misconduct); *Stich v. National Transportation Safety Board*, 685 F.2d 446 (9th Cir.)(table), *cert. denied*, 459 U.S. 861 (1982))(addressed repeated criminal falsification of official airline accident reports, omitting highly sensitive air safety misconduct, making possible repeated crashes from the same sequestered problems); Amicus curiae brief filed on July 17, 1975, in the Paris DC-10 multi-district litigation, *Flanagan v. McDonnell Douglas Corporation and United States of America*, Civil Action 74-808-PH, MDL 172, Central District California.(addressing the long standing FAA misconduct, of which the cover-up of the DC-10 cargo door problem was one of repeated instances of tragedy related misconduct); U.S. v. Department of Justice, District of Columbia, Nos. 86-2523, 87-2214, and other actions filed by Stich seeking to expose and correct the powerful and covert air disaster misconduct.

¹⁰ Included in the criminal activities that I and other government agents had discovered, in addition to those in the government's aviation safety offices, were drug smuggling into the United States by people acting in government positions; massive corruption in the federal bankruptcy courts, illegal funding and arming of Iraq during the 1980s, and numerous other crimes that are detailed in my books, *Unfriendly Skies*, *Defrauding America*, and *Drugging America*, and on web sites of similar names.

Levi, now a federal judge in Sacramento), charged me with criminal contempt of court for attempting to report the criminal activities and for exercising legal and constitutional due process defenses against massive violations of state and federal laws and constitutional protections.

- Testimony by me and other former government agents, and documents, show the existence of the corrupt and criminal activities in the government's aviation safety offices. These offenses were initially discovered by me after I was given the assignment to correct the conditions responsible for the greatest series of airline crashes in the nation's history. The deadly misconduct was so serious, and continued through the standard coverup, and caused such great loss of life, that I acted to circumvent the standard coverup by acting as an independent counsel for approximately six months. During this period I conducted a hearing during which I caused to be introduced into a 4000-page hearing record testimony and additional documents proving that deep-seated corruption in the government's aviation safety offices caused and enabled many crashes and many deaths to occur. I discovered other corruption in the government's aviation safety offices at a later date, and also received information from other government agents showing the deep-seated conditions still existed.
- Testimony by me and other former government agents, and documents, show the existence of corruption related to drug smuggling into the United States. The evidence of drug smuggling into the United States by and with the knowledge and approval of people in government positions arose from the dozens of former and present government agents and actual drug smugglers who provided this information to me over a period of 15 years.
- Testimony by me, government documents, judicial records, and testimony by others, show the existence of corruption in the federal bankruptcy courts involving federal judges, federal trustees, and others working under their control. This was personally discovered and documented by me in judicial records. Additional evidence came from government reports and from information provided to me over the years by CIA personnel, and victims.
- Testimony by former government agents, and documentation, would show the secret funding and arming of Iraq during the 1980s by White House and CIA personnel.
- Corruption among federal judges related to coverups, obstruction of justice, retaliation against informants, and conduct corrupting the judicial offices, would be easily shown by judicial records and other documentation.
- Numerous other areas, which are detailed in my books and on my Internet sites.

As a result of this pattern of—which I repeatedly brought to your attention—the corrupt conditions enabling the calamities of 9-11 to occur continues to exist. In the process of attempting to meet my obligations to the United States, federal judges have misused their positions in a criminal manner to inflict great personal and financial harm¹¹ upon me as they became intimately involved in crimes against the United States.

The gravity of these charges by former government insiders, the record of catastrophic consequences inflicted upon the United States, and the thousands of deaths made possible by thee misconduct we sought to report and federal judges blocked from being reported, and the probability that these deep-seated matters will continue, does not give you the right to continue the years of coverups.

¹¹ The great personal and financial harm, and repeated denial of substantive and procedural due process rights, continued continuously from 1982 to the present date. Massive numbers of state and federal laws and constitutional rights were repeatedly violated; I was converted from a multi-millionaire to a state of poverty, in an attempt to halt my exposure activities. I was twice sentenced to federal prison on contempt of court charges, at the age of 67, for attempting to exercise the responsibility, and the right, to report criminal activities of government personnel, and for exercising the multiple federal defenses against the record-setting violations of federally protected rights. These offenses should be considered when seeking the moral and legal blame for the deaths of 3,000 people on 9-11, and the harm inflicted upon others in events not addressed in this letter.

Sincerely,

Rodney Stich

cc: Justices Stephen Breyer; Ruth Bader Ginsburg; Anthony Kennedy; Sandra Day O'Connor; Antonin Scalia; David Souter; John Stevens, Clarence Thomas.

Further related information at the following Internet sites:

www.defraudingamerica.com

www.druggingamerica.com

www.unfriendlyskies.com
