

From the desk of Rodney Stich

P.O. Box 5, Alamo, CA 94507; phone: 925-944-1930; FAX 925-295-1203

Author of *Defrauding America, Drugging America, Unfriendly Skies, Terrorism Against America, Lawyers and Judges—American Trojan Horses*

Member

Association Former Intelligence Officers (AFIO)

Association of National Security Alumni

International Society of Air Safety Investigators (ISASI)

Lawyers Pilots Bar Association (LPBA)

Former FAA air safety investigator

Former airline captain and Navy pilot

E-mail: stich@defraudingamerica.com

Google.com search engine: "Rodney Stich"

Web sites: www.defraudingamerica.com www.unfriendlyskies.com www.druggingamerica.com

February 6, 2003

Justice William Rehnquist

U.S. Supreme Court

1 First Street, NE

Washington, DC 20532

Certified mailing: 7002 0860 0003 9592 6368

Ref: Making *another* record of federal judges blocking reports of criminal activities discovered by former government agents, including primary blame for success of 19 hijackers on September 11, 2001.¹

To William Rehnquist:

The purpose of this letter is to *again* make a *record* of the continuing documented criminal acts taken by federal judges that are blocking the reports of criminal activities. In this manner they are committing felonies through violation of the federal crime reporting statute, Title 18 U.S.C. § 4,² and those arising under their obstruction of justice that violate additional federal statutes.³ In addition, their continuing retaliation against a former federal aviation safety agent and witness, as they seek to silence him, violates additional federal criminal statutes.⁴ All of these documented serious offenses have been occurring in plain sight of the supervisory responsibilities of the Justices of the United States Supreme Court, who also have vicarious liability for these wrongful acts.

Criminal Activities With Close Links To Events Of September 11, 2001

These charges against federal judges affect national security. The misconduct described in this letter has played key roles in numerous national tragedies, including those of September 11, 2001. These are serious charges, made by a former federal aviation safety agent with impeccable credentials and credibility,⁵ which cannot be simply ignored.

Initial Discovery Of Criminal Activities In Aviation Safety Offices

My initial discovery of deep-seated corruption in government aviation safety offices occurred after I was given the assignment to correct the conditions causing the worst series of aviation disasters in the nation's history.⁶ The arrogance and deep-seated corruption associated with the deadly consequences caused me to act as an independent prosecutor within the FAA. During this six-month period I brought into a hearing record thousands of pages of sworn testimony and additional government documents showing the relationship between the corrupt activities and the resulting airline crashes and deaths.

Primary Reason Why Unprecedented Absence Of Public Investigation Of 9-11

My investigative work shows that this culture of corruption continues to this date, continues to periodically surface in certain preventable aviation crashes, and definitely has primary blame for the success of 19 hijackers on September 11, 2001. Forty years of prior fatal hijackings and inspector reports urging the simple preventative measures were repeatedly blocked because of major internal problems within the FAA.

Initial Attempts To Report Criminal Affecting Aviation Safety

The continuation of airline crashes⁷ from known safety problems and safety violations caused me to take

various steps to bring a halt to these serious problems. I left government service, refusing to work under these corrupt conditions, and filed the first of several federal lawsuits⁸ in the late 1970s and early 1980s, seeking to report the corrupt and criminal activities that had been responsible for many of the airline crashes occurring in my direct and indirect areas of responsibilities. The authority for these lawsuits were the federal crime reporting statute, Title 18 U.S.C. § 4, and the statute permitting any citizen to seek a court order requiring government officials to perform a mandatory duty and to halt unlawful conduct, Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361.⁹

These included: (a) cover-up of major air safety violations and criminal acts involving major air disasters at a politically powerful airline; (b) repeated refusal to take legally required corrective actions when reported by the professional federal air safety inspectors; (c) felony destruction of inspector reports revealing major air safety problems, air safety violations, and criminal falsification of records, while the same problems were resulting in a 20-year-long period of air disasters; (d) threats to inspectors not to file reports of these problems; (e) retaliation against federal air safety inspectors when they continued to file reports of major safety problems and violations, perpetrated while the same problems were resulting in a series of fatal airline crashes; (f) and conspiracy to commit these offenses.

Start Of Federal Judges Blocking Reports Of Criminal Activities

Initially, federal district and appellate judges admitted the gravity of my charges. But they refused to receive the evidence on the argument that (a) these were matters for Congress, and (b) I had no standing to bring these matters to the federal courts.¹⁰ The federal crime reporting statute and the right of any citizen to force federal officials to perform a mandatory duty contradicted those holdings. The crashes and the deaths from these problems continued, as expected.

Circumventing the Obstruction Of Justice By Federal Judges and Prosecutors

Seeking to circumvent this additional level of cover-up, I authored and published the first in a series of books¹¹ seeking to inform the public of these serious matters. The first book was the first of multiple editions of *Unfriendly Skies*. I started appearing as guest and expert on radio and television shows¹² seeking to inform and motivate the public to show some signs of citizen responsibility relating to these matters. The specific crashes made possible by this misconduct are detailed in my book, *Unfriendly Skies*, with the latest fourth edition including the misconduct that made possible the events of September 11, 2001.

Other Government Agents Provided Evidence Of Far Worse Criminality

The publicity arising from my several thousand radio and television appearances and authoring of books¹³ provided publicity causing, over a period of fifteen years, several dozen other former and present government agents¹⁴ to contact me with information and documentation on the criminal activities that they discovered in government offices. These included, as examples:

- **Drug smuggling operations involving federal officials and other federal personnel in covert operations.** Details and documentation of these matters are found in the books, *Defrauding America* and *Drugging America*, and includes hundreds of pages of supporting evidence and statements from those who flew the drugs, affidavits, government documents, that clearly show the Trojan horse subversion of the United States and its people. These were clearly subversive activities.
- **Misconduct adversely affecting national security matters.** Evidence that I have acquired over the years from government insiders reveals government officials blocking the reports of surface-to-air missile sales, suitcase nuclear bomb sales, reports of moles in the FBI and CIA, and many other matters relating to national security. Some of these matters are destined to inflict catastrophic harm upon the United States—just as corruption and its cover-up made possible the 3,000 deaths on September 11, 2001.
- **Widespread corruption in the bankruptcy courts.** This heavily documented corruption involving federal judges, federal trustees, lawyers, corruptly strips people of their assets after the people exercise the statutory protections of Chapter 11. The bankruptcy courts have also been made into a

racketeering enterprise, also defrauding the United States.

- **Secretly funding key politicians through covert CIA operations.** I have acquired information from former CIA insiders, including heads of secret CIA proprietaries, of the CIA secretly funding bank accounts for several well-known politicians. The details, including the names of several well-known politicians, are in the various books, especially *Defrauding America*.
- **Many other corrupt activities,** including the massive drug smuggling in the Contra affair, the bribing of Iranian terrorists to delay the release of American hostages, CIA involvement in looting the savings and loans, and much more.

Again Blocked From Reporting These Criminal Activities

As I continued discovering evidence of major criminal activities, I again commenced, in 1986, exercising the mandatory responsibilities to report these federal crimes to a federal judge as required by 18 U.S.C. § 4. The clear wording of that statute places a mandatory responsibility upon any federal judge (or other federal officer such as a member of Congress) to receive information and evidence offered. This is part of a judge's *administrative* duties. In every instance, federal district and appellate judges, and even the justices of the U.S. Supreme Court, blocked the reports being made. These documented obstruction of justice acts protected those who were guilty of great crimes against the United States.

Expanding on Efforts To Circumvent Massive Cover-Ups Through Government

In an attempt to circumvent the widespread cover-ups and inform the public of these various forms of serious criminal activities, I used the vast amount of insider evidence to publish multiple editions of the following books: *Unfriendly Skies*, *Defrauding America*, *Drugging America*, *Terrorism Against America*, *Lawyers and Judges—American Trojan Horses*, and *Disavow*.

Federal Judges Combined Obstruction Of Justice With Felony Retaliation Against Me

Commencing in 1986, as I and my group of other former and present government agents attempted to report the escalating series of criminal activities, federal judges blocked the reports by immediately dismissing the federal filings. Several district and appellate court judges¹⁵ then sought to prevent me from even *attempting* to report the criminal and subversive activities by issuing unlawful and unconstitutional orders permanently barring me access to federal district and appellate courts. These acts blocking the reporting of criminal activities in government offices, and the complicity of cover-ups, were followed by other federal crimes.¹⁶

Starting in 1987, federal judges court¹⁷ and Justice Department prosecutors started retaliation¹⁸ against me and took parallel actions¹⁹ misusing federal courts to halt my exposure activities, which were funded by my \$10 million in real estate assets. They charged me with criminal contempt of court in retaliation for seeking to report the criminal activities through federal filings under the federal crime reporting statute and the right of any citizen to seek relief from these offenses.

Felony Retaliation Against Former Federal Agent and Witness

After CIA and other government agents provided me with details of other criminal activities, including drug smuggling, I again sought to carry out my responsibilities under 18 U.S.C. § 4. I filed papers in federal courts to report these matters. Instead of receiving the reports, federal judges and Justice Department prosecutors charged me with criminal contempt of court. They sought to support these charges by stating that for the remainder of my life I have been barred from filing any papers in the district of appellate courts, and therefore I was guilty of criminal contempt of court (for exercising legal and constitutional due process rights and reporting criminal activities in which they had become implicated).

It is a crime to retaliate against anyone seeking to report a federal crime, and even worse when the retaliation is against a former federal agent and witness seeking to report criminal activities that have left a trail of deaths and great harm to national security issues.

These obviously unlawful and unconstitutional orders would halt me and my group from reporting criminal activities and block me from exercising federal defenses²⁰ against the simultaneous sham lawsuits²¹

filed by CIA-front law firms seeking to terminate my financial ability to continue these exposure efforts. In this way they blocked me from reporting the misconduct in government offices that was the primary blame for the success of 19 hijackers on September 11, 2001.

Sampling Of Recent Lawsuits With Major Links To Events of September 11, 2001

Recent lawsuits, in which I sought to report the criminal activities, including those enabling 19 hijackers to seize four airliners, are listed in reverse order:

- **Post 9-11 lawsuit²² filed in the U.S. district court, Washington, D.C., seeking to report criminal activities²³ that I and other government agents discovered.** In clear violation of the federal crime reporting statute (and due process filing rights), U.S. District Judge Henry Kennedy unlawfully dismissed the action shortly after it was filed. Kennedy sought to support his dismissal (and refusal to receive evidence relating to the events of September 11, 2001), by citing a 1991 order by Judge Stanley Sporkin that permanently barred me from filing any papers in federal courts. That unlawful and unconstitutional order effectively blocked the reporting of criminal activities that I and my group sought to report in 1991.
- **District of Columbia appellate judges²⁴ enlarged on these criminal and civil rights violations.** Following Kennedy's dismissal of the action seeking to report the criminal activities, I filed a timely notice of appeal²⁵ and prepared to file an appeal brief. The issues raised on appeal would include (a) the mandatory requirements of Judge Kennedy to receive the reports of criminal activities as part of his administrative duties; (b) the many legal and constitutional due process rights to federal court access (c) the criminal act of blocking the reporting of criminal and subversive activities; (d) the right to argue the illegal, unconstitutional, and void nature of Judge Sporkin's 1991 order, and Judge Kennedy's upholding of the order and other due process violations.
 - District of Columbia appellate judges Ginsburg, Sentelle and Randolph issued an order (January 16, 2003) dismissing the appeal before the appeal brief could be filed. They claimed that the 1991 order by former U.S. district judge (and former CIA legal counsel) Stanley Sporkin terminated my right to federal court access, even though this right is guaranteed to all citizens by the laws and Constitution of the United States. (Even murderers and terrorists have this right, but not any government agents reporting major criminal activities implicating people holding key government positions!)
- **I then filed a petition for rehearing en banc with the U.S. court of appeals, which was stamped "received" on January 27, 2003.** Because of the gravity of the judicial corruption and its effect upon national security, including the events of September 11, 2001, and the widespread cover-ups elsewhere, it is expected that the District of Columbia appellate judges will continue the criminal cover-up and termination of due process rights guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the United States.

Concurrent Judicial Cover-Up By Judges In New York City Federal Courts

- **Post 9-11 lawsuit submitted to the U.S. district court, New York City.** On August 8, 2002, I submitted for filing a comparable lawsuit to the U.S. district court for the Southern District of New York in New York City. This court would be especially appropriate to receive the information because of the huge death toll on September 11, 2001, from events that were made possible by obvious failures in the government's aviation safety offices. Further, a prior catastrophic aviation disaster into New York City years earlier, the world's worst at that time, was one of the airline crashes that caused the FAA to give me the assignment to correct the conditions resulting in the worst series of aviation disasters in the nation's history. The same corruption that I discovered and documented played key roles in both air disasters. Unless exposed, these deep-seated conditions would continue to allow major aviation disasters to occur, as on 9-11.
 - To this date, letters sent to Chief Judge Michael Murasey and clerks James Parkison and

Michael McMahon demanding that my papers be filed have failed to bring this about. Instead, the only responses during the past seven months were that the filing is “undergoing judicial review.” Federal law requires immediate filing of complaints when the papers meet certain minimum requirements and the filing fees are paid. This is clear obstruction of justice showing contempt for the 3,000 victims killed nearby on September 11, 2001.

- **Pre 9-11 lawsuit filed in Reno, Nevada,²⁶ seeking to report criminal activities, some of which subsequently made possible the success of 19 hijackers on September 11, 2001.** That lawsuit stated and sought to provide data and evidence relating to ongoing corruption that I and a group of other former and present government agents sought to report to a federal court. Some of these areas of corruption made possible the events of September 11, which very possibly could have been prevented if the judicial cover-ups had not existed. That lawsuit also sought to defend against the sham legal efforts used to halt my exposure activities for which federal remedies for these federal causes of actions existed.²⁷ U.S. district judge Edward C. Reed blocked the reporting of these criminal activities, unlawfully dismissed the lawsuit, and then ordered me to pay several thousand dollars in sanctions for attempting to report the criminal activities and for attempting to defend against record-setting violations of federally protected rights.
- **Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals continued the multiple federal crimes.** After Reed dismissed the filing, I filed a timely notice of appeal, paid the filing fees, and prepared to submit appeal briefs. Ninth Circuit judges Browning, Kleinfeld, and Gould, refused to receive the appeal briefs on the claim that in 1991 district judge Samuel Conti has issued an order barring me from filing any papers in the appeal court. It was Ninth Circuit district and appellate judges that initiated the obstruction of justice tactics in the late 1970s and early 1980s, which made possible many fatal airline disasters arising from the corruption that I documented as a federal aviation safety agent.

Complicity Of Members Of Congress

Heavily implicated in these criminal activities through cover-ups were many members of Congress. Starting while I was a key federal aviation safety agent, I repeatedly advised them of the criminal activities in government offices, the resulting consequences, and reminded them of their responsibilities as members of Congress and under various criminal statutes. Initially, some sympathized and admitted the gravity of what I had discovered, but refused to receive the information,²⁸ refused to conduct any hearings, and covered up for the corruption that has greatly escalated over the years.

Lawsuits Against Members Of Congress To Document Their Cover-Ups

In 1990 I filed two federal lawsuits²⁹ against several members of Congress,³⁰ charging them with cover-ups and obstruction of justice relating to the corrupt and criminal activities that I and several dozen other former government agents had discovered and brought to their attention. The primary purpose of the lawsuit was to make a judicial record of the charges and their response. In their response, they admitted knowing of my charges of criminal and subversive activities. They admitted they did nothing in reaction to my charges. They claimed they were absolutely immune from the consequences of their acts, which included misprision of felonies, criminal cover-ups, and obstruction of justice.

Years Of Cover-Ups By Justice Department Personnel

Repeatedly implicated were Justice Department personnel whose 40 years of cover-ups, started while I was a federal agent, and then expanded into misusing Justice Department offices to retaliate against me for seeking to report the criminal and subversive activities, including those that resulted in 3,000 deaths on September 11.

Probable Reason For the Unprecedented Absence Of Public Investigation Into 9-11

The criminal involvement of so many people in key government offices explains the probable reason for the unprecedented refusal to conduct a public investigation into the deaths of 3,000 people on September 11, 2001.

Those Who Cover Up Or Do Nothing Become Complicit In the Crimes and Consequences

The gravity of these charges, and the close relationship between the documented facts and the many resulting tragedies does not permit anyone receiving this letter, or knowing of its contents, doing nothing, or engaging in the usual cover-up. The pathetic failure of people in the past to act on these matters has permitted the events of September 11 to occur, and the many other national and personal tragedies.

This letter is sent to the justices of the U.S. Supreme Court and to those listed below. The Supreme Court justices are so pathetically implicated that they will do nothing but expand on the cover-ups. But for the others, you are on notice of these charges. Most of the judicial corruption can be easily corroborated. The initial investigation should be on that aspect of this national scandal and how these judicial crimes covered up for the other areas of corruption that played a primary role enabling 19 hijackers to seize four airliners.

This is no time for phony cover-up patriotism. Time is long overdue for courage, idealism, and true patriotism. I am now 80 years old, and have fought a David versus Goliath battle for 40 years with evidence of hardcore corruption inflicting great harm upon America and its people. It is now time for others to show some courage and patriotism. I and my group have accumulated ample evidence to prove the peril facing the United States from thugs in key government positions.

Everyone who receives this letter who does nothing, or engages in more cover-ups, becomes complicit with the guilty and shares in the responsibility for future consequences. They also show contempt for the 3,000 victims of September 11, 2001, whose fate was made possible by the underlying corruption that we documented and the criminal cover-ups, criminal obstruction of justice, and criminal retaliation by large numbers of federal judges.

Sincerely,

Rodney Stich

cc:

* Supreme Court Justices William Rehnquist, Stephen Breyer, Ruth Ginsburg, Anthony Kennedy, Sandra Day O'Connor, Antonin Scalia, David Souter, John Stevens, Clarence Thomas;

* Senators Charles Grassley, Patrick Leahy, Diane Feinstein, Barbara Boxer, John McCain, Joseph Lieberman, Rodham Clinton, Charles Schumer, Bob Graham, Richard Shelby, Bob Graham, Tom Daschle, Kay Bailey Hutchinson;

* Representatives Porter Gross, Jerrold Nadler, Thomas Delay, John Mica, Thomas Delay, Martin Frost, Richard Arney, Dennis Hastert;

* Norman Mineta, Department of Transportation; Tom Ridge, Homeland Security Department; Dick Chaney, Vice President;

District Attorney New York Robert Morgenthau; Desiree Thompson, White House assistant to President George Bush;

* Publishers, New York Times (Arthur Sulzberger), Christian Science Monitor (Paul Van Slambrouch); Publisher Wall Street Journal (Karen House); Publisher Christian Science Monitor;

* Law firms with aviation lawsuits: Speiser and Krause (140 East 45, 34th Floor, NY 10017-3144); Kreindler & Kreindler (100 Park Ave., New York, NY); Ronald Motley (POB 1792, Mt. Pleasant, SC 29465), Baum, Hedlund (12100 Wilshire Blvd, # 950, Los Angeles, CA); ACLU Executive Director Dorothy Ehrlick; ACLU Executive Director Ira Glasser, Executive Director, 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor, New York, NY 10004-2400.

¹ The corruption enabling 19 hijackers to seize four airliners on September 11, 2001, existed in several sources, but primarily within the government's aviation safety offices.

² Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

³ Title 18 U.S.C. § 2. Principals. (a) Whoever commits an offense against the United States or aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures its commission, is punishable as a principal. (b) Whoever willfully causes an act to be done which if directly performed by him or another would be an offense against the United States, is punishable as a principal.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 3. Accessory after the fact. Whoever, knowing that an offense against the United States had been committed, receives, relieves, comforts or assists the offender in order to hinder or prevent his apprehension, trial or punishment, is an accessory after the fact.

⁴ Title 18 U.S.C. § 1512. Tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant— (b) Whoever knowingly uses intimidation or physical force, or threatens another person, or attempts to do so, or engages in misleading conduct toward another person, with intent to —(1) influence, delay or prevent the testimony of any person in an official proceeding; shall be fined ... or imprisoned ... or both. [1988 amended reading]

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1513. Retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant. (a) Whoever knowingly engages in any conduct and thereby causes bodily injury to another person or damages the tangible property of another person, or threatens to do so, with intent to retaliate against any person for—(1) the attendance of a witness or party at an official proceeding, or any testimony given or any record, document, or other object produced by a witness in an official proceeding; or (2) any information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense

⁵ I was a Navy patrol plane commander and pilot instructor in World War II. I was an airline captain for many years after that war. I joined the FAA as a federal aviation safety agent and was eventually given the assignment to correct the conditions causing the worst series of aviation disasters in the nation's history. The deep-seated corruption that I discovered in the FAA caused me to act as an independent prosecutor for six months, during which I forced into the hearing records the testimony of many people and added considerably more documentation. I began obtaining evidence of cover-ups by NTSB board members, which continued the corruption and made possible continuation of the fraud-related air disasters. Since about 1985, other former and present government agents started providing me information and evidence of corruption and criminal activities in other government offices that were inflicting great harm upon national security. As a private citizen I had acquired over \$10 million in real estate, which I then used to fund my attempts to expose the criminal and subversive activities that I and a group of other former government agents had discovered.

⁶ These details are found in my book, *Unfriendly Skies*.

⁷ I detail and document these fraud-related airline crashes in the book, *Unfriendly Skies*.

⁸ *Stich v. United States*, et al., 554 F.2d 1070 (9th Cir.) (table), cert. denied, 434 U.S. 920 (1977)(addressed hard-core air safety misconduct, violations of federal air safety laws, threats against government inspectors not to report safety violations and misconduct); *Stich v. National Transportation Safety Board*, 685 F.2d 446 (9th Cir.)(table), cert. denied, 459 U.S. 861 (1982))(addressed repeated criminal falsification of official airline accident reports, omitting highly sensitive air safety misconduct, making possible repeated crashes from the same sequestered problems); Amicus curiae brief filed on July 17, 1975, in the Paris DC-10 multi-district litigation, *Flanagan v. McDonnell Douglas Corporation and United States of America*, Civil Action 74-808-PH, MDL 172, Central District California.(addressing the long standing FAA misconduct, of which the cover-up of the DC-10 cargo door problem was one of repeated instances of tragedy related misconduct); *U.S. v. Department of Justice, District of Columbia*, Nos. 86-2523, 87-2214, and other actions filed by Stich seeking to expose and correct the powerful and covert air disaster misconduct.

⁹ Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361. Action to compel an officer of the United States to perform his duty. The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any action in the nature of mandamus to compel an officer or employee of the United States or any agency thereof to perform a duty owed to the plaintiff.

¹⁰ This secondary argument was raised by Justice Department lawyers who repeatedly sought to prevent me from reporting these and even more serious criminal activities.

¹¹ The purpose of the books was to circumvent the vast cover-ups and inform the public of the crimes perpetrated against them by people in government offices. Authoring and publishing these books were a non-profit operation funded by my \$10 million in real estate assets.

¹² Over 3,000 appearances on radio and television shows since 1978.

¹³ The purpose of the books was to circumvent the vast cover-ups and inform the public of the crimes perpetrated against them by people in government offices. Authoring and publishing these books were a non-profit operation funded by my \$10 million in real estate assets.

¹⁴ Government agents providing me with insider information and documentation during the past fifteen years include agents of the FBI, CIA, DEA, ONI, Customs, Secret Service, INS, and others, including state law enforcement personnel, former drug

smugglers and former Mafia figures.

¹⁵ Federal judges issuing injunctions permanently terminating my rights to federal court access including Samuel Conti, Stanley Sporkin (former CIA legal counsel), Milton Schwartz, Marilyn Patel, and others.

¹⁶ Title 18 U.S.C. § 35. A party who conveys false information, knowing it to be false, knowing an attempt or alleged attempt being made that would be a crime under Chapter 97 or 111, which pertain to aircraft and motor vehicles. [This violation especially applies to the documented false information made by FAA personnel during an FAA hearing conducted by Rodney Stich.]

Title 18 U.S.C. § 111. Assaulting, resisting, or impeding certain officers or employees. (a) In general.—Whoever— (1) forcibly assaults, resists, opposes, impedes, intimidates, or interferes with any person designated in section 114 of this title [federal agent] while engaged in or on account of the performance of official duties; or (2) forcibly assaults or intimidates any person who formerly served as a person designated in section 1114 on account of the performance of official duties during such person's term of service, shall, where the acts in violation of this section constitute only simply assault, be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both, and in all other cases, be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1114. Protection of officers and employees of the United States.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 153. Embezzlement by trustee or officer.

Whoever knowingly and fraudulently appropriates to his own use, embezzles, spends, or transfers any property or secretes or destroys any document belonging to the estate of a debtor which came into his charge as trustee ... shall be fined ... or imprisoned ... or both. [This statute applies to the trustees who liquidated Stich's assets on the basis of the void orders issued by federal judges Robert Jones and Edward Jellen.]

Title 18 U.S.C. § 241. Conspiracy against rights of citizens

If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any citizen in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; ... They shall be fined ... or imprisoned ... or both;

Title 18 U.S.C. § 242. Deprivation of rights under color of law. Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person ... to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishment, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, than are prescribed for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; (check if this has been applied against a group, such as whistleblowers).

Title 18 U.S.C. § 245. Federally protected activities. (b) Whoever, whether or not acting under color of law, by force or threat of force willfully injures, intimidates or interferes with, or attempts to injure, intimidate or interfere with—(1) Any person because he is or has been, or in order to intimidate such person or any other person or any class of persons [whistleblower, witness, informant] from—(B) participating in or enjoying any benefit, service, privilege, program, facility, or activity provided or administered by the United States;

Title 18 U.S.C. § 371. Conspiracy to commit offense or to defraud United States. If two or more persons conspire either to commit any offense against the United States, or to defraud the United States, or any agency thereof, in any manner or for any purpose, and one or more of such persons do any act to effect the object of the conspiracy, each shall be fined ... or imprisoned ... [The United States was defrauded by the actions of the judges, including blocking the reporting of criminal activities; retaliating against a former federal agent and witness for attempting to report criminal acts; subverting the laws and constitution of the United States through corrupt misuse of federal courts and judicial positions.]

Title 18 U.S.C. 1346. Definition of "scheme or artifice to defraud" For the purpose of this chapter, the term "scheme or artifice to defraud" includes a scheme or artifice to deprive another of the intangible right of honest services.

¹⁷ Federal judges involved in these charges include Milton Schwartz, Raul Ramirez, Marilyn Patel, David Levi, John Moulds (magistrate), and included Judge Anthony Kennedy before leaving for his position as Justice on U.S. Supreme Court.

¹⁸ The judicial criminal retaliation took several forms: (1) repeatedly, from 1986 through 1995, federal judges and Justice Department prosecutors charged me with criminal contempt of court for exercising the responsibility to report hardcore criminal activities to a federal judge. One of these federal prosecutions was in the U.S. district court at Sacramento, California, action number CR S-87-124 JFM and were the direct result of filing papers seeking to report these crimes..

¹⁹ In addition to charging me with criminal contempt of court for reporting criminal and subversive activities in key government offices and covert government activities, the CIA-front law firm of Friedman, Sloan and Ross (San Francisco) filed a sham lawsuit against me targeting the \$10 million in assets that funded my exposure activities. Federal judges then violated every relevant defense that I had, protecting the CIA-front law firm and the scheme. Eventually, my assets were seized and liquidated, orders rendered barring me from objecting, and when I did file objections, Oakland federal judge Edward Jellen charged me with criminal contempt of court and sentenced me to federal prison. (There is much more to all this.)

²⁰ Federal defenses against the massive numbers of violations of federally protected rights included the Civil Rights Act,

Biven, civil RICO, Declaratory Judge Act, Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2201, FTCA, and others. Every defense was denied to me by federal judges who were concurrently blocking my reports of the criminal activities. The latest such scheme is in the U.S. district court at Reno, Nevada (# CV-N-02-0039-LRH).

²¹ Parallel legal efforts, which involved federal judges, included seizing the \$10 million of my life assets that funded my exposure activities. These orders violated the legal and constitutional requirement of a hearing, notice of hearing, or legally recognized cause. Then, orders were rendered barring me from filing objections. When I did file objections, federal judge Edward Jellen charged me with criminal contempt of court. Another legal tactic was a sham lawsuit filed by the CIA-front law firm of Friedman, Sloan and Ross (San Francisco) which violated dozens of state and federal laws, followed by repeated violation of every federal defense. These multiple legal tactics acted to block the reporting of criminal and subversive activities that had a deadly effect for many, and an awesome effect upon major national interests.

²² U.S. District Court, District of Columbia, 02cv01172, filed June 12, 2002.

²³ Among the deeply entrenched documented criminal activities within the FAA were (a) cover-up of major air safety violations and criminal acts involving major air disasters at a politically powerful airline; (b) repeated refusal to take legally required corrective actions when reported by the professional federal air safety inspectors; (c) felony destruction of inspector reports revealing major air safety problems, air safety violations, and criminal falsification of records, while the same problems were resulting in a 20-year-long period of air disasters; (d) threats to inspectors not to file reports of these problems; (e) retaliation against federal air safety inspectors when they continued to file reports of major safety problems and violations, perpetrated while the same problems were resulting in a series of fatal airline crashes. And much more.

²⁴ District of Columbia appellate judges blocking the reports were Chief judge Ginsburg, Sentelle, and Randolph.

²⁵ District of Columbia appeal number 02-5240.

²⁶ Reno lawsuit filed in 2000, Nr.

²⁷ Federal remedies available for the multiple violations of federally protected rights included the Civil Rights Act, Declaratory Judgment Act, Bivens, Civil RICO, FTCA, among others.

²⁸ Members of Congress meet the definition under of "officer" under 18 U.S.C. § 4 for responsibility to receive information of federal crimes.

²⁹ Lawsuit against members of Congress, U.S. district court, Washington, DC, # 89-0170 SS. Placed under seal by U.S. district judge Stanley Sporkin, former CIA legal counsel.

³⁰ Defendant members of Congress listed as defendants included Senators Edward Kennedy, Ernest Hollings, Albert Gore, Pete Wilson, Strom Thurmond; representatives Joseph Biden, Jack Brooks, John Conyers, Harley Staggers and Henry Gonzalez. Another similar lawsuit was filed under No. 89-85 and named among others, Representative Norman Mineta, who was appointed to head the Department to Transportation after his prior cover-up made possible the conditions enabling 19 hijackers to seize four airliners on September 11, 2001.