1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	000
4	
5	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE STANLEY A. WEIGEL, JUDGE
6	
7	RODNEY STICH,
8	Plaintiff,)
9	vs.) No. C80-4526 SAW
10	NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION) SAFETY BOARD, et al.,)
11	Defendants.
12	Defendants.)
13	
14	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment
15	
16	
17	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
18	Thursday, April 23, 1981
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported by:
24	PAUL SCHILLER, R.P.R.
OF	

PAUL SCHILLER

OFFICIAL REPORTER, U. S. DISTRICT COURT

BAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

RODNEY STICH, in propria persona

For the Defendants:

G. WILLIAM HUNTER
United States Attorney
By: GEORGE CHRISTOPHER STOLL
Assistant United States Attorney
450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, California

PAUL SCHILLER

DFFICIAL REPORTER, U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

 THE CLERK: Civil Case 80-4526, Rodney Stich v. National Transportation Safety Board, et al.

MR. STOLL: Good afternoon, Your Honor, George Stoll on behalf of the Board.

MR. STICH: Rodney Stich for the plaintiff in proper.

THE COURT: Well, I have rather bad news for you, Mr. Stich. I think you are in the wrong Court.

MR. STICH: I disagree with you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I know you do. I think this is a matter that is properly before the Court of Appeals, and in a way I am sorry, because it is a fascinating subject and I would like very much to have it before me, but under the law I don't think I properly can.

If you can point to a single case that squarely holds, leaving out the question of standing right now, that with respect to an order by this Board, a final order by this Board, that you have the right to be here rather than the Court of Appeals, give me that single case and I will change my mind. But I have nothing before me that supports that position.

MR. STICH: My understanding on the United States of Appeals Court would be on economic matters, and as far as

the mandamus action, where I am alleging gross abuse of discretion or failure to carry out the discretionary --

THE COURT: The mandamus statute on which you rely in this contract relates only to administrative matters, not orders of this kind based upon items of discretion.

MR. STICH: Obviously, then, I would have to appeal.

THE COURT: You can appeal, instead of appealing, why don't you go directly to the Court of Appeals. You can do both.

MR. STICH: I don't feel I belong in the United States Court of Appeals, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I think the reason you feel that way possibly is because you feel this involves factual issues more appropriately presented to a trial court; is that right?

MR. STICH: I thought I submitted sufficient statutory and case law to show that this Court did have jurisdiction.

As a matter of fact, Your Honor, in a previous action similar to this before Judge Schnacke, they did acknowledge and the United States Court of Appeals acknowledged I was in the correct Court, and it was a similar action such as this.

THE COURT: Are you aware of that?

MR. STOLL: I'm not aware of that, Your Honor.

MR. STICH: This was a previous action that I had

filed concerning the Federal Aviation Administration's misconduct, and in that particular action Judge Schnacke and the United States Court of Appeals ruled that I was in the right Court but the way it was dismissed was the excuse, over my objection, that I was asking the Court and the Judge and his Clerk to investigate, which I definitely was not requesting.

But I definitely had standing, and I was definitely in the right Court, and this is a similar action.

THE COURT: All right, you are entitled to your opinion and I wish you luck on appeal.

I can rarely remember when I had one matter, let alone two, when I did not mind being reversed, but in this case that is not because I have any question as to the soundness of my decision but because of my interest in the subject matter.

However, there you are. You can appeal this and also go directly to the Court of Appeals. All right.

MR. STOLL: Do you want a formal order?

THE COURT: No, I got one here. Thank you.

PAUL SCHILLER

DFFICIAL REPORTER, U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, the undersigned official reporter of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript, Pages 1 through 5, inclusive, constitutes a true, full and correct transcript of my shorthand notes taken as such reporter of the proceedings hereinbefore entitled, and reduced to typewriting to the best of my ability.

Paul Schiller