

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

No. 02-5240

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

For the District of Columbia Circuit

RODNEY F. STICH, Appellant

v.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, Appellee

On Appeal From the United States District Court

For the District of Columbia

PETITION FOR ENBANC REHEARING

FRAP 40

Rodney Stich
POB 5
Alamo, CA 94507
Tel: 925-944-1930

1 Appellant petitions for rehearing en banc on the basis that appellant's proceeding involves
2 multiple questions of exceptional national and personal importance. These include the following:

3 1. **National Security.** The appellate panel judges are continuing to block the reporting of
4 criminal and subversive activities that Appellant sought to report under the federal crime
5 reporting statute, 18 U.S.C. § 4. Any federal judge, including the judges in this District of
6 Columbia, have a mandatory duty to receive this information and evidence under their
7 administrative duties and as clearly required by federal criminal statutes. Blocking the
8 reporting of these crimes is a criminal offense,¹ and the history of such prior cover-ups is
9 riddled with resulting tragedies and harm to national interests that could have otherwise
10 been prevented. The hijackings of four airliners by 19 hijackers were only one-morning's
11 consequences of these crimes and in only one of the many areas adversely affected by the
12 federal crimes that Appellant and his group of other former government agents
13 discovered as part of their official duties.

14 2. **Combining obstruction of justice with termination of all legal and constitutional**
15 **defenses.** The appellate panel judges are continuing the pattern of massive due process
16 violations by denying to Appellant the protections and defenses guaranteed by the laws
17 and Constitution of the United States, and which are available to other people, including
18 murderers and terrorists.

19 3. **Purpose of the judicial denial of due process.** This denial to Appellant of the right to
20 file appeal briefs, after paying the appeal fee, accomplished several purposes:
21 a. Blocked Appellant and his group of other former federal agents from reporting to
22 a federal judge the hardcore criminal activities that they discovered during their
23 official duties.
24 b. Blocked Appellant from defending against the record-setting violations of dozens
25 of state and federal laws and constitutional protections that were at the core of a
26 sham lawsuit by a CIA-front law firm in their effort to halt Appellant's exposure
27

28 ¹ Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 2,3,4.

1 activities. Federal judges then expanded on these violations when Appellant
2 exercised the multiple federal remedies for the violations of federally protected
3 rights.

- 4 c. Protects the menagerie of federal judges whose documented pattern of obstruction
5 of justice and massive violations of due process rights made the federal courts
6 into a racketeering enterprise.
- 7 d. Protects the parties committing the criminal and subversive activities that
8 Appellant and several dozen government agents discovered.
- 9 e. Continues the documented pattern of deaths and other tragedies, and great harm
10 upon national security, of which the 3,000 deaths on 9-11 were simply one day's
11 consequences in one area affected by the corruption.

12 Although the background and credibility of a person reporting federal crimes to a federal
13 judge is not involved in a judge's responsibility under the crime reporting statute and his
14 administrative duties to receive the information, Appellant has an unusual background and high
15 credibility. Appellant was a former federal aviation safety agent who was given the assignment
16 to correct the conditions resulting in the worst series of aviation disasters in the nation's history.
17 He acted in the role of an independent prosecutor to circumvent the cover-ups, sought to report
18 the deep-seated federal crimes associated with a series of fatal airline crashes. Several dozen
19 other government agents had, over many years, provided appellant with additional information
20 and documentation on federal crimes implicating people in federal positions, which continue to
21 inflict great harm upon national interests. In addition, the government agents providing Appellant
22 with information and documentation on criminal activities were agents with the FBI, CIA, DEA,
23 Customs, Secret Service, and other government entities.

24 The attached order terminated Appellant's due process appeal rights based upon an unlawful
25 and illegal order rendered by former federal judges (and former CIA legal counsel) Stanley
26 Sporkin. That order terminated Appellant's equal protection rights guaranteed by the laws and
27 Constitution of the United States by permanently barring Appellant from filing any papers in the
28 federal courts.

1 Judicial records show that the order was intended, and acted to block the reporting of
2 criminal and subversive activities, to insure the success of a sham lawsuit filed by a CIA-front
3 law firm, and to block Appellant from the defenses guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of
4 the United States.

5 The judges of this appellate court in the District of Columbia have the same responsibilities
6 to receive evidence of the federal crimes, including those that made possible the terrorist attacks
7 on September 11, 2001, and the criminal misuse of the federal courts that have inflicted such
8 great personal and financial harm upon Appellant.

9 The appellate panel's order filed January 16, 2003 reached Appellant on January 24, 2003,
10 preventing Appellant from filing a petition for rehearing with the appellate panel. However,
11 regardless of when this court receives this petition, it has a responsibility under the federal crime
12 reporting statute to receive the information and evidence that Appellant and his group of other
13 former federal agents seek to report to a federal judge under 18 U.S.C. § 4.

14 Dated: January 25, 2003.

15
16
17 _____
18 RODNEY F. STICH
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28