

1 RODNEY F. STICH  
2 P.O. Box 5  
3 Alamo, CA 94507  
4 Phone: 415-820-7250  
5 Defendant in pro se

(briefed\bkcy.cpl)

6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7  
8 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
9

10  
11 RODNEY F. STICH, )  
12 Plaintiff, )  
13 V. )  
14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; )  
15 RICHARD THORNBURGH, United )  
16 States Attorney General; )  
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT )  
18 COURTS, Ninth Circuit; UNITED )  
19 STATES COURT OF APPEALS, )  
20 Ninth Circuit; UNITED )  
21 STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT; )  
22 EDWARD JELLEN, Chapter 11 Judge, )  
23 Defendants. )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

No. )  
COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND )  
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF & DAMAGES; )  
CORRUPT SEIZURE, EMBEZZLEMENT, )  
AND LOOTING OF CHAPTER 11 ASSETS; )  
SIMULTANEOUS )  
REPORTING OF FEDERAL CRIMES )  
TO A FEDERAL COURT (18 USC § 4); )  
DAMAGES; )  
  
Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343, )  
2201, 2202; 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 1961-1965; )  
1512, 1513; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983-1986; )  
FTCA. )  
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL ON JURY )  
MATTERS; )  
EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR )  
INJUNCTION TO HALT )  
EMBEZZLEMENT & LOOTING OF )  
CHAPTER 11 ASSETS & PROTECTION )  
AGAINST FURTHER RETALIATION )

23 VERIFIED COMPLAINT

24 1. This complaint alleges, *inter alia*, record setting number of violations of federal civil,  
25 constitutional, and criminal statutes, violated in a conspiracy and scheme, devised, conducted, and/or  
26 participated in by the Defendants and the Participants named in this complaint. They wrongfully  
27 conspired to conduct or participate directly or indirectly in the scheme to destroy Plaintiff's ability to

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

continue his humanitarian and legally required attempts to report and correct federal crimes committed by federal officials. Plaintiff initially uncovered the crimes while he was a federal air safety investigator.

### CORRUPT SEIZURE OF PLAINTIFF'S ASSETS IN CHAPTER 11

2. This complaint exercises declaratory and injunctive relief remedies, specifically focusing on the corrupt seizure of Plaintiff's assets after he was forced to seek refuge in Chapter 11 from retaliatory acts taken to silence his crime-reporting activities; and on related issues. These issues include:

A. Declaratory and injunctive relief from pattern of Chapter 11 corruption, embezzlement and looting of assets. (Partial list of due process violations and evidence of corruption associated with Chapter 11 proceedings is shown by Exhibit "C.") These violations arose in a California law suit concocted by a Justice Department proprietary law firm seeking to silence Plaintiff's exposure of a pattern of federal crimes committed by federal officials.

B. Declaratory judgment to declare personal and property rights in five judgments entered in courts throughout the United States. The rights and protections arising under federally protected rights, that are violated, for which Plaintiff exercise federal remedies, are listed in Exhibit "B."

C. Declaratory judgment to declare Plaintiff's right to the constitutionally and statutorily guaranteed rights to federal court access, the right to exercise these remedies and protections, which have been repeatedly denied for the last decade by Ninth Circuit judges and justices.

D. Injunctive relief to halt the corrupt and terminal destruction of Plaintiff's personal and property rights in Ninth Circuit Chapter 11 proceedings.

E. Injunctive relief to halt the record setting judicial harms inflicted upon Plaintiff, by the Defendants and Participants. (Exhibit "B").

### REPORTING MAJOR FEDERAL CRIMES AND CONSPIRACIES

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

3. This complaint exercises federal responsibilities to report federal crimes to a federal court, as required to be reported by federal criminal statutes, including Title 18 U.S.C. § 4 (misprision of felony). The federal crimes and possible crimes include:

A. Smoking-gun evidence of a conspiracy and numerous federal crimes concerning the scheme to continue the imprisonment of the 52 American hostages formerly held by Iran. This evidence is in the form of a declaration and transcript describing the flight to Paris with George Bush, William Casey Donald Gregg, Robert Gates, and others, to finalize the secret agreement that permitted the United States to be blackmailed for huge amounts of military supplies. The declaration and transcript also describes the flight on an SR-71 CIA aircraft secretly carrying George Bush, a private citizen, back from Paris. This "smoking gun" evidence is the missing link in establishing that the major crimes associated with the scheme did in fact occur.

B. Possible/probable assassination of three naval officers in an attempt to silence Captain Russbacher's exposure of the secret flights by George Bush and the related conspiracy. Evidence strongly indicates this happened. And if it did, the gravity of the hostage scandal becomes infinitely worse.

C. Pattern of air safety and criminal violations related to a series of fatal airline crashes. Revealing the world's worst air disaster related scandals.

D. Pattern of wide-spread Chapter 11 corruption by federal judges, officers of the court, cooperating law firms, and Justice Department officials. Probably the nation's worst criminal racketeering enterprise.

E. Federal crimes arising from government-funded retaliation against a victim and informant. The complaint and exhibits describes the scheme by Justice Department attorneys/officials; Justice Department proprietary law front; federal judges; and others, converting their positions into felony cover-ups and persecution of the whistleblower.

1 F. A pattern of widespread Justice Department corruption. A common thread  
2 in each of these scandals is the duplicity of Justice Department officials and  
3 attorneys: air disaster related federal crimes; Chapter 11 corruption; prosecuting  
4 citizens to block their reporting of crimes; obstruction of justice relating to the  
5 American hostage conspiracy; and others.

6 G. Five year pattern of repeated false imprisonment by Defendants and  
7 Participants. The intent was and is to block Plaintiff's reporting of a web of criminal  
8 activities committed by federal officials defrauding the United States. The price for  
9 these crimes include record-setting capital offenses in the related air disasters, setting  
10 the tone for the gravity of the other crimes.

11 H. Other major federal crimes, including those relating to the nation's drug  
12 problems; to savings and loan fraud.

13 I. Damages against Defendants and listing Participants as defendants.

#### 14 **JURISDICTION**

15 4. This Court has mandatory jurisdiction over the claims for declaratory and injunctive relief,  
16 and damages, under:

17 A. Title 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Federal courts have original jurisdiction of all civil  
18 actions arising under the Constitution and laws of the United States.

19 B. Title 28 U.S.C. § 1343, to provide relief, to halt, and to recover damages, for  
20 injury to person or property, because of the deprivation of any right or privileged of a citizen  
21 of the United States, by any act done in furtherance of any conspiracy stated in Title 42  
22 U.S.C. § 1985. Also, to collect damages from any person (including Justice Department  
23 attorneys, federal judges, and other federal officials), who fail to prevent or aid in  
24 preventing, any wrongs arising from a conspiracy to violate a person's civil rights, that they  
25 had knowledge were about to occur and power to prevent. Also, to redress the deprivation  
26 Plaintiff has and is suffering, by the acts of state judicial or non-judicial parties, acting under  
27 color of state law; federal officials acting under color of federal law who violate, any right,  
28

1 privilege or immunity secured by federal statutes or by the Constitution of the United States,  
2 providing for equal rights; to recover damages or to secure equitable or other relief under  
3 federally protected rights for the protection of civil rights.

4 C. Fifth Amendment right to the rights and protections under federal laws and  
5 Constitution, and relief from violation of federally protected rights.

6 D. First Amendment right to petition government, including through the federal  
7 courts, for correction of violations of federally protected rights.

8 E. Title 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 through 1986 (Civil Rights Act) (state judges and actors  
9 acting under color of state law, causing great and irreparable harm, acting without  
10 jurisdiction, violating large numbers of federally protected rights, violating large numbers of  
11 state laws, in a cause of action barred by federal and state laws. Render injunctions halting  
12 the violations by parties acting under color of state law.

13 F. RICO. The Organized Crime Control Act of 1970, Pub. L. No. 91-452, Section  
14 901(a) 84 Stat. 941, Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations. This complaint  
15 addresses a scheme devised, conducted, and/or participated by the Defendants and the parties  
16 named herein. They participated and conspired in the scheme through a pattern of  
17 racketeering activities, to violate the RICO Act, to violate the Civil Rights Act, and to  
18 wrongfully divest Plaintiff of his assets, his freedoms, quality of life, liberties, and other  
19 federally protected rights. The intent of over 100 predicate acts spanning an eight-year  
20 period during the latest phase of the conspiracy was to block plaintiff's exposure of a pattern  
21 of hard-core air-disaster-related corruption, and its cover-up by officials in the U.S.  
22 Department of Justice and by federal judges and justices in the Ninth Circuit, as well as the  
23 judicial government units themselves. Their actions also adversely affected those injured and  
24 killed in airline crashes associated with the corruption Plaintiff sought to expose and correct.  
25 In turn, they defrauded the United States, its citizens, and citizens of foreign countries who  
26 were affected by the conspiracy.

27 G. Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 1964(a) (Equity) and 1964(c) (right to Sue for relief, damages,  
28

and Treble Damages);

1 H. Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202 (declaratory judgment to determine rights and legal  
2 obligations and legal rights arising under the laws and Constitution of the United States.

3 I. *Bivens* doctrine, providing relief and injunctions against the wrongful acts of those  
4 acting under color of federal law, violating rights and protections under the laws and  
5 Constitution of the United States, and of the State of California.

6 J. Title 28 U.S.C. § 1361. To (a) compel an officer of the United States to perform a  
7 duty owed to plaintiff); to (b) compel federal judges and justices in the Ninth Circuit to  
8 uphold the rights and protections under the laws and Constitution of the United States; (c)  
9 officials in the U.S. Department of Justice to investigate the air disaster corruption, halt the  
10 obstruction of justice, and halt the intense persecution of Plaintiff in an effort to obstruct  
11 plaintiff's exposure of the criminal acts; (d) officials in the FAA to halt their air disaster  
12 related corruption and to comply with the law; (e) National Transportation Safety Board  
13 officials to halt their falsification of official airline accident reports and to investigate the air  
14 safety corruption brought to its attention by plaintiff.

15 K. Federal Tort Claims Act. Relief, and damages, from the wrongful acts of those  
16 acting under color of federal law. (Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b), 2671 *et seq.* Before this action  
17 was commenced the claim set forth herein was presented to and denied by the U.S.  
18 Department of Justice, on May 23, 1990. This suit is commenced within six months of the  
19 denial of that claim.

20 L. FRCivP 65 (injunctive relief to protect plaintiff, suffering great and irreparable  
21 harm, from the unlawful, unconstitutional, and criminal acts of those named in the  
22 complaint; and to provide relief to halt the deeply ingrained pattern of air safety corruption  
23 that results in debilitating injuries and deaths).

24 M. Other federally protected rights under the laws and Constitution of the United  
25 States, including (a) the constitutional right to unabridged interstate travel/to change  
26 residence without losing previously adjudicated and acquired personal and property rights;  
27

1 (b) the right to obtain a divorce and adjudication of personal and property rights on the same  
2 residence basis as available to millions of other people.

3 5. This Court has mandatory jurisdiction to receive reports of federal crimes, and to receive  
4 testimony and evidence, under federal criminal statutes, including:

5 A. Title 18 U.S.C. § 4 (misprision of felony). The responsibility of citizens,  
6 under federal criminal statutes, to report to a federal court federal crimes that he  
7 suspects or knows to exist, and for federal judges to then provide him a forum to give  
8 testimony and evidence of such federal crimes.

9 B. Title 18 U.S.C. § 241 (Conspiracy against rights of citizens). Provide relief from  
10 violations of civil and constitutional rights inflicted upon Plaintiff by parties acting under  
11 color of state law, and parties acting under color of federal law.

12 C. Violations of other Title-18 criminal statutes (including § 3 (accessory before and  
13 after the fact), § 35 (imparting or conveying false information); § 371 conspiracy (against  
14 Plaintiff to silence his exposure of air-disaster-related corruption; against U.S. and foreign  
15 citizens who are injured or killed in airline crashes arising from base of corruption; and  
16 against the United States by corrupting its institutions, laws and constitution); § 1001  
17 (making false statements and cover-up); § 1341 (frauds and swindles); § 95 (Interference  
18 with commerce by threats in a RICO racketeering enterprise); § 1503 (obstruction of justice);  
19 willfully impeding and obstructing justice; aiding and abetting the crimes describes within  
20 this complaint.

21 **FEDERAL OFFENSES IN THE HOSTAGE RELATED SCANDALS**

22 6. All of the above, and other crimes, including treason as it relates to the conspiracy by  
23 private citizens, undermining the efforts of the elected officials in the United States government,  
24 including President Jimmy Carter, to get the American hostages released. By promising to provide  
25 the Iranians with far greater amounts of military supplies if the hostage imprisonment was continued,  
26 private citizens, conspiring with federal officials, conspired to continue the imprisonment of the 52  
27 American hostages.

## THE PARTIES

### Plaintiff RODNEY STICH.

7. Plaintiff Rodney Stich is domiciled in Nevada, and has a residence in Nevada and California and Massachusetts. Plaintiff has been engaged in humanitarian activities initially related to air safety, which led him to discovery of major federal crimes, some of which were committed by federal officials. His attempts to report these crimes led to the offenses described in this complaint and attachments.

### DEFENDANTS

8. Defendant United States acted through other named Defendants and unnamed defendants, misusing the power of United States offices in a pattern of persecution against plaintiff, and during the commission of the offenses described in this complaint. The intent of the persecution was initially to block plaintiff's reporting of federal air safety and criminal acts by federal officials, relating to a series of fatal airline crashes; block plaintiff's reporting of rampant Chapter 11 corruption by federal officials, including the corruption inflicted upon plaintiff; the harm inflicted upon Plaintiff for having exercised the rights and protections under the laws and Constitution of the United States, as Plaintiff sought relief from the pattern of retaliatory acts taken to silence his reporting of the federal crimes.

9. Richard Thornburgh. As U.S. Attorney General he directed and/or permitted to occur the federal offenses described in this complaint.

10. Ninth Circuit Bankruptcy Courts and judges, and particularly Judges Robert Jones and Edward Jellen. They engaged in a pattern of hard-core corruption, violating federally protected rights and protections, violating Chapter 11 rights and protections, and sanctioning the perpetrating of federal crimes upon those exercising Chapter 11 remedies. Embezzlement, looting, fraud, was a standard pattern, following the unlawful, unconstitutional, and corrupt seizure of assets after plaintiff, and other parties, exercised the protections in Chapter 11.

11. Judge Edward Jellen, Chapter 11 judge, a key figure in the Chapter 11 criminal racketeering enterprise, who joined the scheme initiated by the Justice Department's proprietary law

firm, Justice Department officials, and unknown other federal officials.

1  
2 12. United States Department of Justice attorneys and officials in the Department of Justice  
3 have engaged in a conspiracy, with the Defendants and Participants. Each of the Defendants and  
4 Participants joined the conspiracy that feloniously:

5 A. Blocked plaintiff's reporting of the crimes, obstructing justice.

6 B. Retaliated against plaintiff for reporting the crimes, through assisting in  
7 the Chapter 11 corrupt seizure of Plaintiff's assets; through false criminal contempt  
8 charges and a continuous pattern of imprisonment commencing in May 1987 and  
9 continuing at this time.

10 C. Harming Plaintiff for having exercised rights and protections under the  
11 laws and Constitution of the United States.

12 D. Assisted in the Chapter 11 judicial corruption, protected those defrauding  
13 Chapter 11 parties, while concurrently protecting those committing these corrupt  
14 acts.

15 E. Assisted in the obstruction of justice related to the air disaster-related  
16 federal air safety and criminal acts.

17 F. Assisted in the obstruction of justice related to the scheme to continue the  
18 imprisonment of the American hostages and the multiple felonies arising from that  
19 scheme.

20 G. Engaged in the scheme to violate federally guaranteed procedural and  
21 substantive rights and protections under the laws and Constitution of the United  
22 States.

23 H. Officers of the court, Chapter 11 trustees, including Charles Duck (now in  
24 prison), Jerome Robertson.

25 I. Law firms assisting in the Chapter 11 corruption, including Goldberg,  
26 Stinnett and McDonald, and Murray and Murray.

**PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1           13. Personal jurisdiction arises under Title 18 U.S.C. § 1965(a) and (b), and 28 U.S.C. §  
2 1391(b) and (d). The parties to the conspiracy include judges and justices in the Ninth Circuit Court  
3 of Appeals and district courts, and the court system themselves, and that these political entities of the  
4 United States, jurisdiction is exercised under this breakdown of normal law and order by filing  
5 against the United States in this jurisdiction.

**VENUE**

6           Lawsuits against the United States can be filed in any district court. Because Ninth Circuit  
7 judges and judges, and the Ninth Circuit district and appellate courts are heavily implicated in the  
8 crimes described within this complaint, because they are Defendants in this action, and because they  
9 have for over a decade perpetrated a total due process gridlock against Plaintiff as part of an overall  
10 scheme, Plaintiff has no other remedy but to file elsewhere other than in the Ninth Circuit. Further,  
11 Ninth Circuit judges have repeatedly threatened to send Plaintiff to federal prison (and have carried  
12 out this threat), if plaintiff reports federal crimes or seeks relief from the terminal destruction of his  
13 personal and property rights. These are criminal acts, and violate the most basic of all  
14 constitutionally protected rights.

**PARTIES/PARTICIPANTS**

15           14. San Francisco law firm of Friedman, Sloan, and Ross, and various attorneys and parties  
16 in the firm. The firm and many of its attorneys serve as a proprietary law firm for the U.S.  
17 Department of Justice, and is a corporation licensed to practice law in the State of California. They  
18 carried out the clandestine activities and scheme engineered by federal officials who were threatened  
19 by plaintiff's exposure of the initial air safety/air disaster-related federal offenses. Evidence of this  
20 proprietary law firm status and clandestine activities arises from the pattern of wrongful acts, from  
21 statements made by attorneys who have worked with the Justice Department and in the legal  
22 environment.

23           15. California judges and justices, including *inter alia*, Superior Court judges in Solano  
24 County, including Judges Dwight Ely, Michael McMannis, DeRonde, Dennis Buntin, William  
25  
26  
27

Peterson; Court of Appeal justices, including Harry W. Low; Donald B. King; Zerme P. Haning; California Supreme Court justices. Their pattern of wrongful acts for eight years far exceeds federal case law criteria for establishing the existence of a conspiracy. Their wrongful acts are established by taking judicial notice of California judicial proceedings. (Superior Court, Solano County, No. 83472, and appeals and petitions in the California Court of Appeals and California Supreme Court.

16. Federal judges and justices, including Raul Ramirez; Milton Schwartz; Garcia; Marilyn Patel; Samuel Conti; Vaughn Walker; Chapter 11 judges, including Robert Jones, Edward Jellen; justices Anthony Kennedy; officers of the court, including convicted embezzler Charles Duck, Jerome Robertson, and various law firms helping to carry out the scheme. The evidence of their wrongful acts is found in numerous federal court proceedings, which by their great numbers far exceeds federal case law criteria for establishing a conspiracy.

17. The San Francisco law firm of Friedman, Sloan and Ross acted as a proprietary law firm for the Justice Department, inflicting great and irreparable harms upon Plaintiff. They commenced the scheme by filing a sham lawsuit against Plaintiff (Superior Court, Solano County, State of California, No. 83472) to divert his attention from the reporting of the federal crimes, and to strip him of the assets that funded his exposure activities.

18. District Judges, and judges of such Ninth Circuit courts. The identifiable part of the civil, constitutional and criminal acts. Their acts consisted of blocking petitioner's reporting of the federal crimes and protecting those committing the criminal offenses against the United States, its citizens, and against plaintiff; (b) violating large numbers of federal statutory and constitutional rights and protections when Plaintiff exercised federally guaranteed rights and protections seeking relief from the retaliatory acts taken to block his reporting of the federal crimes; (c) punishing Plaintiff for having exercising federally protected rights and protections as Plaintiff sought relief from the terminal destruction of important personal and property rights inflicted through the pattern of civil, constitutional, and criminal violations; (d) their aiding and abetting of the federal crimes.

19. Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals justices. They engaged in a Ponzi scheme of sanctioning (a) the violations of federal air safety and criminal acts related to a series of fatal airline crashes; (b)

1 Chapter 11 corruption as inflicted upon plaintiff, and upon hundreds of other victims after Chapter  
2 11 rights and protections were exercised; (c) inflicting grave harms upon Plaintiff by federal officials  
3 engaging in a conspiracy to block plaintiff's reporting of the crimes; retaliating against Plaintiff for  
4 trying to report the crimes; and harming Plaintiff for having exercised rights and protections under  
5 the laws and Constitution of the United States.

6 20. Other parties, whose identities are not exactly known, or whose participation in the  
7 scheme is not known for sure, and who will be added to the list of Defendants following discovery.

8 **PARTIES/PARTICIPANTS FOR PURPOSES OF RELIEF**

9 21. All of the above.

10 **RELEVANT TIMES**

11 22. The relevant times during which the conspiracy and wrongful acts occurred during the  
12 last phase of the multi-phased conspiracy are from 1982 to the present date. An earlier part of the  
13 conspiracy occurred while Plaintiff was a federal air safety investigator for the Federal Aviation  
14 Administration from 1962 through 1967; and the obstruction of justice from 1974 through 1982  
15 when Plaintiff filed several federal law suits under federal criminal statutes, reporting to a federal  
16 court the federal crimes committed by federal officials and officials at United Airlines.

17 **HARMS INFLICTED UPON PLAINTIFF AS PART OF CONSPIRACY**

18 23. Harms inflicted upon Plaintiff by Defendants and Participants, via the sham California  
19 action filed by the Justice Department's proprietary law firm; via Chapter 11 courts:

20 A. Nine years pattern of destroying Plaintiff's real estate business.

21 B. Causing loss of Plaintiff's retirement home.

22 C. Causing seizure and destruction of ten million dollar estate.

23 D. Repeated prison sentences and threats of prison, commencing in March  
24 1987 and continuing anew at this time.

25 E. Repeated jail sentences and threats of jail sentences, in the sham California  
26 action.

27 F. Day to day fallout from these acts destroying Plaintiff's quality of life,  
28

privacy, liberties.

1  
2 G. Harms experienced by Plaintiff at that time, in addition to the above,  
3 consists of combination of (a) criminal contempt charges and facing additional prison  
4 sentences; (b) inability to obtain legal counsel to defend; (c) final destruction of all  
5 assets, converting Plaintiff from a multi-millionaire to a state of poverty; (d)  
6 embezzlement, looting, and fraud by Chapter 11 judges and officers of the court,  
7 destroying Plaintiff's remaining assets, and inability to obtain legal counsel, and  
8 refusal to provide Plaintiff with his own funds to defend; (e) multiple law suits  
9 against Plaintiff, generated by the massive corruption inflicted against Plaintiff in  
10 Chapter 11, and encouraged by knowledge that state and federal judges have blocked  
11 all due process and have encouraged all types of financial and personal persecution  
12 of Plaintiff; (f) homeless, after being ordered out of Plaintiff's retirement home; (g)  
13 permanent destruction of credit worthiness; (h) humiliation beyond comprehension.

14 **FEDERAL LAW VIOLATED BY DEFENDANTS AND PARTICIPANTS**

15 24. This complaint alleges *inter alia*, violations under federal civil, constitutional, and  
16 criminal statutes. They include: Title 28 U.S.C. § 1343; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 to 1986 and its *Bivens*  
17 equivalent as applied to those acting under color of federal law; 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1965; First and  
18 Fifth Amendments to the United States Constitution; Federal Tort Claims Act; and seeks declaratory  
19 and injunctive relief, and damages. In addition, Plaintiff seeks to report a pattern of criminal acts  
20 committed by federal officials whose conduct violated, and continues to violate, *inter alia*, Title 18  
21 U.S.C. § 241 (harming a citizen for having exercised rights and protections under the laws and  
22 Constitution of the United States; §§ 1512 and 1513 (tampering with a witness, retaliating against a  
23 victim or informant for reporting or trying to report federal crimes to a federal court or other federal  
24 tribunal); § 1505 (obstructing proceedings before federal courts, and earlier, before FAA, NTSB,  
25 before federal grand jury, to prevent presenting testimony and evidence of federal offenses); § 1961  
26 (RICO violations, by conspiring to harm an informant, and adversely affecting interstate and  
27 international commerce); § 371 (conspiracy to commit offense against, or to defraud, the United  
28

1 States) (misusing federal offices as a criminal enterprise, decreasing the effectiveness and the  
2 purpose of federal offices, inflicting large financial losses upon the United States); § 1951  
3 (interference with interstate and international air commerce, and specifically the FAA, NTSB,  
4 wrongful acts, and blocking and retaliating against Stich for seeking to report federal air safety and  
5 criminal acts affecting air safety); § 2 (principal)(committing crimes against the United States, and  
6 aiding, abetting, counseling, inducing, the crimes described in this complaint) § 3 (accessory after  
7 the fact)(Knowing that offenses have been committed against the United States, and then relieving,  
8 comforting, and assisting the offenders in order to hinder or prevent the apprehension, trial, and  
9 punishment of the offenders); § 4 (misprision of felony)(failure to report federal crimes to a federal  
10 judge or other federal tribunal, and impliedly, failure of a federal judge or other federal official to  
11 allow the reporting of such suspected or known crimes); § 35 (imparting or conveying false  
12 information)(Anyone conveying false information, or causing to be conveyed); § 2071 (Concealment  
13 or removal of official reports)(as for instance, the concealing and removal of federal reports on a  
14 pattern of major air safety and criminal acts related to a series of fatal airline crashes); § 34  
15 (changing federal offenses to capital offense when death results)(relating to the series of fatal airline  
16 crashes arising from the air safety and criminal acts); § 111 (impeding FAA inspectors or other  
17 federal employees)(repeated threats, retaliation, preventing federal air safety investigators from  
18 reporting the federal air safety and criminal violations that were continuing to play a causative or  
19 permissive role in some of the most brutal air disasters that have ever occurred); § 1621 (perjury, at  
20 FAA hearing); § 1623 (subornation of perjury, at FAA hearing, and in judicial proceedings retaliating  
21 against plaintiff, such as his imprisonment for reporting federal crimes); § 1623 (false declarations  
22 before federal grand jury)(Justice Department officials and FAA officials tampering with federal  
23 grand juries to prevent their investigations into the tragedy-related federal crimes).

### 24 **FIRST SEGMENT OF THE CONSPIRACY**

25 25. The first part of the conspiracy, federal crimes, and civil and constitutional violations,  
26 occurred while Plaintiff was a federal air safety investigator for the Federal Aviation Administration,  
27 from 1962 through 1966. Plaintiff discovered, as did many other federal inspectors for the Federal  
28

1 Aviation Administration, a pattern of hard-core, serious violations of federal air safety and criminal  
2 laws by officials at United Airlines and within the Federal Aviation Administration. These crash-  
3 causing violations were juxtapositioned with a series of airline crashes in which the direct causes of  
4 the crashes were caused to exist by the pattern of federal offenses. Inspectors, including Plaintiff,  
5 were threatened, harassed, intimidated, not to report the federal offenses. Official reports were  
6 destroyed. In response to Plaintiff's reports of these crimes to the National Transportation Safety  
7 Board and the Justice Department, among others, the felony acts expanded through a pattern of  
8 obstruction of justice. Official government accident reports were falsified to cover up for the federal  
9 crimes. Justice Department officials blocked Plaintiff's reports of the crimes to a federal grand jury.  
10 In this way the pattern of air disaster related corruption continued, additional crashes and deaths  
11 occurred that could otherwise have been prevented. These deaths became record-setting capital  
12 offenses under Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 34, 35. These crimes associated with large numbers of deaths have  
13 never been prosecuted to this date. Nor has the information been made available to those victims or  
14 survivors with federal causes of actions.

### 15 SECOND SEGMENT OF THE CONSPIRACY

16 26. The second part of the conspiracy and federal crimes occurred between 1974 and 1982.  
17 Plaintiff filed three federal actions<sup>1</sup> seeking to report the pattern of ongoing federal air safety and  
18 criminal violations (as required to be reported under federal criminal statutes, including Title 18  
19 U.S.C. § 4 (misprision of felony)). The filing of these actions invoked the mandatory responsibility  
20 of federal judges to allow Plaintiff to present testimony and evidence related to the federal crimes.  
21 Justice Department attorneys continued to block plaintiff's reporting of the crimes, and filed motions

22 <sup>1</sup> *Stich v. United States, et al.*, 554 F.2d 1070 (9th Cir.) (table), *cert. denied*, 434 U.S. 920  
23 (1977)(addressed hard-core air safety misconduct, violations of federal air safety laws, threats  
24 against government inspectors not to report safety violations and misconduct); *Stich v. National*  
25 *Transportation Safety Board*, 685 F.2d 446 (9th Cir.)(table), *cert. denied*, 459 U.S. 861  
26 (1982))(addressed repeated criminal falsification of official airline accident reports, omitting highly  
27 sensitive air safety misconduct, making possible repeated crashes from the same sequestered  
28 problems); Amicus curiae brief filed on July 17, 1975, in the Paris DC-10 multi-district litigation,  
*Flanagan v. McDonnell Douglas Corporation and United States of America*, Civil Action 74-808-  
PH, MDL 172, Central District California.)(addressing the long standing FAA misconduct, of which  
the cover-up of the DC-10 cargo door problem was one of repeated instances of tragedy related

1 to have the federal action dismissed. After admitting the gravity of the charges (which under federal  
2 case law must be assumed to be correct in opposing dismissal), the district courts dismissed the  
3 action, and these dismissals were sanctioned by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Every act by  
4 Justice Department officials and federal judges blocking plaintiff's reporting of the federal crimes to  
5 a federal court caused the continuation of the federal air safety and criminal violations and the  
6 pattern of corruption in the aviation environment. These acts in turn caused, or permitted to occur,  
7 repeated airline crashes and deaths, including some that were record-setting in brutality.

8 27. Between 1978 and 1982, Plaintiff sought to circumvent these obstruction of justice acts  
9 by using his assets to publish exposé books and appear as air safety activist on hundreds of radio and  
10 television shows. These acts threatened the federal officials (and powerful United Airlines) who  
11 were directly implicated in the federal crimes, and those obstructing justice. Despite the gravity of  
12 the crimes and the brutal consequences, and the certainty of repeated occurrences, Ninth Circuit  
13 judges and justices blocked every attempt by Plaintiff to report the tragedy-related offenses. Federal  
14 judges and justices, in a Ponzi-like scheme, blocked and unlawfully dismissed each of the three  
15 actions Plaintiff had filed which sought to report the federal crimes.

### 16 **THIRD STAGE OF THE CONSPIRACY**

17 28. The third stage of the conspiracy commenced in 1982. The scheme misused a proprietary  
18 law firm for the U.S. Department of Justice<sup>2</sup> against plaintiff, to block plaintiff's reporting of the  
19 federal crimes committed by federal officials, in which Justice Department officials and federal  
20 judges were implicated. The law firm commenced the scheme by concocting and filing a sham law  
21 suit<sup>3</sup> against Plaintiff in the California courts. The law suit required that state judges act without  
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 misconduct).

24 <sup>2</sup> Friedman, Sloan and Ross.

25 <sup>3</sup> The cause of action was a sham: it argued, and California judges repeatedly held, that the five  
26 divorce judgments were void; the personal and property rights adjudicated and established in the five  
27 judgments were void; the property rights acquired during 22 years of divorced status were void; that  
28 plaintiff was still married to the Texas resident who declared for these 22 years in her resident State  
of Texas that she was divorced; on the basis that the 1966 divorce court did not require the  
subjective mental thought processes of domicile for exercising personal jurisdiction, and therefore  
all these rights, and the laws and Constitution of the United States, prior jurisdictions of residence,

jurisdiction to void two decades of adjudicated and acquired personal and property rights; violated large numbers of California and sanction, and aid and abet, the scheme.

29. The Friedman law firm used the sham lawsuit as a catalyst to "support" eight years of great harms inflicted upon plaintiff. (Exhibit "B.") The cause of action attacked plaintiff's divorced status and property rights established in five divorce judgments and acquired under the laws and Constitution of the United States and various states of residence for the past two decades. Orders were rendered for eight years (a) without jurisdiction under California law,<sup>4</sup> and (b) without jurisdiction under federal law;<sup>5</sup> (c) violated numerous statutes barring the action; (d) violated numerous statutes requiring recognition of the five prior judgments that established plaintiff's personal and property rights being attacked; (e) barred the action on the basis of statute of limitations for the past two decades. Nine years of chaos, destroying a lifetime of work product, blocking exposure of deadly hard-core criminal acts, all arose from one cause of action: the California law suit claiming that exercise of personal jurisdiction by the 1966 divorce court on the (universally recognized and exercised) residence basis permitted California judges and justices to remarry Plaintiff to a Texas resident 22 years after the marriage was terminated (as shown by five divorce judgments), and that all of Plaintiff's property accumulations as a divorced person were community properties to be divided with the Texas resident (who had been declaring herself divorced for the past two decades), and further divided with the Justice Department's proprietary law firm! This is the scheme concocted by the Defendants and the Participants to protect the criminal misconduct related to a series of fatal airline crashes!

30. California judges and justices, up to and including the California Supreme Court, approved the record-setting violations of Plaintiff's civil and constitutional rights established by California statutes and constitution, and established by federal statutes, case laws, and constitutional safeguards. Compounding these violations, they inflicted harm upon Plaintiff for having exercised

---

and those of California, protecting these rights, were void!

<sup>4</sup> There is no jurisdiction under the California Family Law Act to attack and void any of the five prior judgments, the personal and property rights established in the five divorce judgments, or to void property rights acquired since the 1966 divorce under the rights and protections of the laws and Constitution of the United States, and of prior jurisdictions of residence.

<sup>5</sup> Absence of complete jurisdiction arose from removal to federal court on June 17, 1986, where it

1 rights and protections under the laws and Constitution of the State of California and of the United  
2 States. They ordered Plaintiff to pay almost a quarter of a million dollars in sanctions and attorney  
3 fees for having exercised California remedies specifically provided by California statutes. Their  
4 actions aided and abetted the scheme carried out by the Justice Department proprietary law firm.

#### 5 **EXERCISING FEDERALLY GUARANTEED REMEDIES**

6 31. Plaintiff exercised the first of numerous declaratory and injunctive relief remedies  
7 invoking mandatory federal court jurisdiction, commencing in 1984, by filing a federal action (E.D.  
8 Cal. No. C 84-0048 RAR). This action exercised declaratory judgment remedies to declare personal  
9 and property rights, under federal law, established by the five judgments rendered by courts  
10 throughout the United States. The action then sought relief in accordance with declaration of  
11 personal and property rights, as provided by, *inter alia*, Title 28 U.S.C. § 2202. To this date federal  
12 judges and justices have refused to address these rights, wherein today there are five judgments  
13 declaring Plaintiff divorced since 1966 and establishing his property rights since that date. These  
14 rights are contradicted by a July 28, 1988 California judgment falsely claiming to terminate the non-  
15 existing marriage on that 1988 date, and transferring legally separate properties of a divorced person  
16 as if it was community property of a married person. Plaintiff reported a pattern of civil and  
17 constitutional violations, openly and repeatedly acting without jurisdiction under California law,  
18 while simultaneously violating large blocks of state and federal statutes and constitutional  
19 protections. In direct violations of constitutionally and statutory rights, and violating federal case  
20 law and rules of court, every federal judge and justice engaged in a Daisy-Chain type of unlawful  
21 dismissal. (Exhibit "G.")

#### 22 **FORCING PLAINTIFF TO SEEK REFUGE IN CHAPTER 11** 23 **AND THEN EXPANDING THE NINTH CIRCUIT CORRUPTION**

24 32. The wrongful acts of the participants and the Defendants forced Plaintiff to seek refuge in  
25 Chapter 11 from the record-setting number of civil, constitutional, and criminal violations committed  
26 between 1982 and 1987. Plaintiff filed Chapter 11 for his corporate assets, which contained ten  
27 million dollars in valuable properties, and which did not have any financial problems. Plaintiff later  
28 filed Chapter 11 for his personal status. These filings occurred in Las Vegas, Nevada, where Plaintiff

1 had his domicile and a residence. A pattern of lawless acts then followed, which is described in the  
2 attached exhibits and declarations. Assets were seized without the case on the calendar. The assets  
3 were then embezzled and looted by known embezzler, trustee Charles Duck. Federal judges and  
4 Justice Department officials then protected the criminal acts, barred Plaintiff from defending, and  
5 persecuted Plaintiff through misuse of federal offices when Plaintiff exercised the constitutionally  
6 guaranteed access to federal court and as provided by federal statutes. The Chapter 11 courts were  
7 discovered to be a vast criminal racketeering enterprise. Plaintiff discovered a pattern of criminal  
8 acts perpetrated by federal judges and officers of the court, and sanctioned by every higher level of  
9 the federal judiciary, up to and including the U.S. Supreme Court. Federal judges and embezzler  
10 trustee Charles Duck:

11 A. Seized plaintiff's assets in the corporate case without the case calendared for a  
12 hearing (September 28, 1987); without the mandatory noticed hearing; without cause;  
13 without evidence supporting the legal cause; without jurisdiction as the court had refused to  
14 accept jurisdiction at an earlier September 11, 1987 hearing (which had never been vacated);  
15 and in a pattern of obvious fraud. (shown by the official tape proceedings, the falsified  
16 hearing date that never existed, the cooperation of plaintiff's own legal counsel brought  
17 under control of the powerful federal judiciary racketeering enterprise in a corollary to the  
18 Mafia structure).

19 B. Seized plaintiff's assets in the personal Chapter 11 case without the mandatory  
20 noticed hearing, without jurisdiction, without cause, without evidence, in the same pattern of  
21 corruption and conspiracy.

22 C. Barred Plaintiff from exercising federal remedies after unlawfully seizing  
23 plaintiff's assets. After corruptly seizing these assets, the RICO enterprise rendered unlawful  
24 and unconstitutional injunctive orders barring Plaintiff from filing appeals or oppositions to  
25 the corrupt judicial seizure of plaintiff's assets. In this way the judicial part of the RICO  
26 enterprise sought to protected itself from the corrupt seizure, and sought to bar Plaintiff from  
27 defending against the judicial anarchy.

1 D. Charged Plaintiff with criminal acts for defending himself against the record-  
2 setting civil, constitutional, and criminal violations, through Plaintiff's exercise of federal  
3 remedies (Plaintiff addressed the corrupt seizure of plaintiff's life's assets). Charged Plaintiff  
4 with criminal acts when Plaintiff filled appeals and oppositions, as guaranteed by law and  
5 Constitution of the United States. Oakland Judge Edward Jellen held Plaintiff in criminal  
6 contempt for defending against the judicial outrages; denied him legal counsel during a  
7 "trial," barred Plaintiff from testifying, and sentenced him to federal prison. These acts were  
8 protected by Judge Samuel Conti of the U.S. District Court, Northern District of California,  
9 protected by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, protected by the Justices of the U.S.  
10 Supreme Court (all of whom protected the eight-year pattern of outrageous violations of the  
11 Civil Rights Act and the RICO Act, among other laws.

12 E. Unfiled Plaintiff's appeals and oppositions.

13 F. Turned ten million dollars of assets over to known embezzler, Charles Duck, who  
14 then embezzled additional funds, and destroyed plaintiff's estate, with the aid and comfort of  
15 the RICO enterprise.

16 G. Destroying the six million dollar equity estate by distributing the funds to those  
17 committing the violations that forced Plaintiff to seek relief in Chapter 11, and to those  
18 comprising the hard-core Chapter 11 judicial racketeering activities.

19 NINTH CIRCUIT PATTERN OF SENTENCING PLAINTIFF TO PRISON  
20 FOR EXERCISING FEDERAL REMEDIES

21 33. Federal judges engaged in a pattern of sentencing Plaintiff to federal prison for exercising  
22 constitutionally protected rights specifically provided by statutes legislated by Congress. From 1986  
23 to the present date, Ninth Circuit judges and justices, coordinating with Justice Department attorneys  
24 and officials, repeatedly charged Plaintiff with criminal contempt for filing federal actions reporting  
25 federal crimes and for seeking declaratory and injunctive relief to halt the shocking harms he  
26 suffered:

27 A. N.D. Cal. No. 87-124 RAR, sentenced to federal prison and transported to prisons  
28 throughout the western United States for having exercising rights and protections guaranteed

1 by the Constitution and laws of the United States, and for reporting the federal crimes that  
2 implicated the Justice Department and Ninth Circuit federal judges and justices. Plaintiff had  
3 filed federal actions (N.D. Cal. C 86-6046; District Columbia No. 86-2523 and 87-2214)  
4 seeking relief from the severe personal and property losses experienced since the 1982 filing  
5 of the state action, and reporting the escalating federal crimes.

6 B. Prison for opposing the corrupt seizure of plaintiff's life's assets. Federal judge  
7 Edward Jellen, with the approval of Judge Samuel Conti, the Ninth Circuit, and the U.S.  
8 Supreme Court justices, sentenced Stich to federal prison in 1987 for filing appeals,  
9 oppositions and other remedies to the corrupt seizure of plaintiff's assets. (N.D. Cal. Bkcy  
10 Court, No. 487-05974J and 05975J) After seizing plaintiff's assets without the case being on  
11 the calendar, violating statutory and constitutional protections, Judge Edward Jellen rendered  
12 an injunction barring Plaintiff from filing appeals, oppositions and other federal remedies.

13 C. Imprisonment without personal jurisdiction and without charges, in  
14 retaliation for again seeking declaration of personal and property rights, seeking  
15 relief, and reporting the escalating federal crimes. Ninth Circuit Judge Marilyn Patel  
16 rendered on order sentencing Stich to federal prison from November 23, 1990 to  
17 December 10, 1990. She sought jurisdiction on the basis of a law suit that she had  
18 unlawfully dismissed in 1987, on the basis that Plaintiff had filed a law suit against  
19 the Justice Department's proprietary law firm, Friedman, Sloan, and Ross, seeking  
20 damages for the harms inflicted upon Plaintiff by their sham California law suit;  
21 seeking declaration of rights and legal obligations in the five judgments, and a  
22 declaration of nullity of the 1988 judgment claiming Plaintiff was married, when in  
23 fact he had been divorced for 22 years. Judge Patel is a key Participant in this multi-  
24 pronged conspiracy.

25 D. Commencing all over again. Justice Department officials implicated in the  
26 long pattern of obstruction of justice and other criminal acts, and who had been  
27 retaliating against Plaintiff directly and through its proprietary law firm, charged  
28

1 Plaintiff with criminal contempt on December 10, 1990, for having filed a federal  
2 law suit (N.D. Illinois, No. 90-C-2396, naming their proprietary law firm front as a  
3 defendant; again seeking declaratory judgment; again seeking relief; and again for  
4 reporting the federal crimes, which were now threatening our form of government.

5 **STILL OTHER PERSECUTION**

6 34. Homeless and without funds. Simultaneous with the pattern of imprisonment, the  
7 Defendants and conspirators ordered Plaintiff out of his home, stripped Plaintiff of his assets and  
8 means to make a living. Without funds, Plaintiff is unable to hire the large number of legal counsel  
9 necessary to defend any of the many law suits filed against Plaintiff, or to defend against the  
10 government-funded persecution inflicted upon him by the coalition of Justice Department attorneys,  
11 Justice Department proprietary law firm, cooperating California judges and justices, federal judges  
12 and justices, and the others taking advantage of the attacks upon Plaintiff.

13 **ADDITIONAL FEDERAL CRIMES—OCTOBER SURPRISE**

14 35. Multiple crimes and treasonous acts related to the American Hostage scandal. Plaintiff  
15 discovered evidence of still other crimes in which Justice Department officials were heavily  
16 implicated. Attached exhibit "A" is one of many transcripts of statements made by a key naval  
17 officer, key member of the Office of Naval Intelligence, and key member of the Central Intelligence  
18 Agency. Captain Gunther Russbacher, U.S. Navy, dictated to Plaintiff statements describing how he  
19 was command pilot of the BAC 111 aircraft that flew private citizens to Paris in October 1980  
20 (George Bush; William Casey; Donald Gregg; Robert Gates; and others). The purpose of the trip was  
21 to finalize the conspiracy agreement with Iranian factions to continue the imprisonment of the  
22 American Hostages in Iran. The purpose of the conspiracy was for political and financial gains  
23 associated with the Reagan-Bush election team. Captain Russbacher's statements constitutes the  
24 smoking-gun evidence establishing that the long-rumored conspiracy and flight by Bush to Paris did  
25 in fact occur. The sacrifice of large numbers of American in the fraud-related airline crashes; the  
26 financial destruction of thousands of American citizens in Chapter 11 criminal racketeering  
27 proceedings by federal judges and others, leaves no question that the defendants and Participants

would become a part of the scheme to continue the imprisonment of the hostages and to pave the way for the blackmail of the United States that followed.

**STRONG EVIDENCE OF ASSASSINATION ATTEMPT TO SILENCE THE HOSTAGE SCANDAL**

36. Strong circumstantial evidence indicates that an assassination attempt was made to kill Captain Gunther Russbacher on April 30, 1991 at Fort Ord, California. Statements made to Plaintiff strongly indicates that an in-flight explosion caused the disintegration and crash of a U.S. Navy helicopter at Fort Ord at approximately 8 p.m. on April 30, 1991, in which Captain Russbacher was expected to have been a passenger. The attached transcript and declaration describes part of the probable assassination attempt. If the assassination attempt did occur, it would increase the treason acts by large numbers of government and non-government figures, into probably the nation's worst scandal. Combined with the Chapter 11 criminal racketeering activities, the air disaster-related corruption, the Justice Department's constant involvement in sordid forms of corruption, the effects of prior criminal obstruction of justice by every known check and balance will be shown.

**PATTERN OF RAMPANT CORRUPTION**

37. The Justice Department is at the center of criminal racketeering activities in the United States that are committed by federal officials and those acting under color of federal law. Their felony acts are found throughout the schemes described within this complaint and in the exhibits. It is natural that the felony misconduct would be found in other parts of the government of the United States.

**NINTH CIRCUIT PATTERN OF JUDICIAL CORRUPTION**

38. Ninth Circuit judges and justices have engaged in a blatant pattern of judicial corruption, constitutional outrages, felonies cover-ups, and persecution of a victim/informant, in a pattern of subversive activities:

A. Pattern of cover-up, obstruction of justice, blocking the reports to a federal tribunal of air disaster related federal crimes.

B. Misused Chapter 11 as a criminal racketeering enterprise, and to block Plaintiff's reporting of federal crimes, and destroy the whistleblower.

1 C. Aiding and abetting the rampant violations of federally protected rights  
2 while simultaneously inflicting the terminal destructive blows upon Plaintiff, in  
3 retaliation for report federal crimes and for exercising federal relief remedies.

4 D. Strong indications that the Ninth Circuit courts are implicated in several  
5 major national scandals.

6 39. During the relevant times, in connection with the activities giving rise to this action, the  
7 Defendants and Participants conspired with each other, and with others who are unknown at this  
8 time, to engage in the various activities set forth in this complaint and prohibited by federal criminal  
9 statutes, federal civil right statutes, and other federally protected rights. In the process they have  
10 inflicted great harms upon Plaintiff, defrauded the United States, and its citizens. They have inflicted  
11 great harms upon the United States.

12 40. All references to Defendants include reference to Participants. Each of them joined a  
13 conspiracy, which made them liable, and guilty, of the crimes perpetrated before or after their entry  
14 into the scheme, or after they no longer took an active part in the scheme.

15 41. Fraud. Some parts of the fraudulent acts, the relationship of the acts with the overall  
16 conspiracy, were not discovered until recently. For instance, the recognition that the law firm of  
17 Friedman, Sloan and Ross was a Justice Department's proprietary law firm did not occur until  
18 receiving information of that fact on or about March 1991.

### 19 **FELONY MISUSE OF POSTAL SERVICE**

20 42. During the relevant times, and in furtherance of and for the purpose of executing the  
21 scheme and artifice to defraud, Defendants repeatedly used, and caused to be used, mail depositories  
22 of the United states Postal Service. They placed, and caused to be placed, mailable matter in the  
23 depositories, and removed and caused to be removed, mailable matter from these depositories. The  
24 misuse of the mails in connection with the scheme and artifice to defraud constituted the offense of  
25 mail fraud as proscribed and prohibited by 18 U.S.C. § 1341. The mail was used from 1983 to 1990  
26 in the sham California law suit; in the federal courts to sentence Plaintiff to prison, to violate  
27 federally protected rights following plaintiff's filing of federal law suits seeking relief; in Chapter 11,

1 where plaintiff's life's assets were corruptly, unlawfully, and unconstitutionally seized, as part of the  
2 scheme.

3 43. During the relevant times, and in furtherance of and for the purpose of executing the  
4 scheme and artifice to defraud, Defendants used on numerous occasions, and caused to be used, wire  
5 communications in interstate and foreign commerce. Phone calls were made, and caused to be made,  
6 in support of the scheme. These wrongful acts were prohibited by 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

7 44. During the relevant times, and in furtherance of and for the purpose of executing the  
8 scheme and artifice to defraud, the Defendants and the scheme affected interstate and foreign  
9 commerce by obstructing justice. They covered up for the pattern of deeply ingrained air safety  
10 corruption. They blocked every effort by Plaintiff to report and expose the tragedy-riddled  
11 misconduct, even when Plaintiff was attempting to carry out his official duties as an FAA air safety  
12 investigator. These parties misused the powers of the Department of Justice and federal courts to  
13 persecute Plaintiff for his humanitarian actions, and willingly sacrificed to death the many people  
14 who died in crashes that would have been prevented by an exposure of the misconduct. These  
15 wrongful acts contributed to the deaths of over 2,000 people.

16 45. The case law criteria for establishing the existence of a conspiracy has been far exceeded.  
17 The conspiracy to violate large blocks of personal and property rights protected by California and  
18 federal laws and Constitutional safeguards is unquestioned.

19 A. The pattern of violations committed in the sham California law suit establishes an  
20 unprecedented record of civil, constitutional, and RICO violations, and a state judiciary  
21 engaging in a shocking pattern of racketeering.

22 B. The pattern of violations committed by judges and justices in the Ninth Circuit has  
23 shown this branch of government riddled with criminal activities that defrauds the United  
24 States, its citizens, and specifically plaintiff.

25 C. Officials in control of the U.S. Department of Justice have engaged in a long  
26 pattern of criminal obstruction of justice that has made possible over 2,000 deaths arising  
27 from the air safety corruption within plaintiff's former area of official air safety  
28

responsibilities and knowledge.

1 D. The federal crimes committed by federal officials have a common thread  
2 and inter-relationship, that spreads like a cancer. The federal offenses committed by  
3 Justice Department officials, and the tragic consequences of these acts, are found in  
4 (a) the air safety and air disaster-related crimes; (b) in Chapter 11, the nation's worst  
5 criminal racketeering enterprise; (c) the criminal misuse of federal offices to  
6 persecute American citizens; (d) the American hostage scandal with its grave  
7 ramifications; and (e) other crimes.

8  
9 46. Plaintiff suffered great harms as a result of the wrongful acts committed by the  
10 Defendants and the participants. These harms were inflicted, and are being inflicted, for the past nine  
11 years of the latest phase of the scheme to block plaintiff's reporting of the multiple and inter-related  
12 federal crimes.

13 47. Rampant crimes against the United States, its people, and against Plaintiff, by federal  
14 officials. The multiple areas of hard-core federal civil, constitutional and criminal offenses  
15 committed by federal officials in the Justice Department, by federal judges, and the total breakdown,  
16 aiding and abetting, by every known check and balance, are prima facie evidence of coordinated  
17 crimes against the United States, its citizens, and against Plaintiff. At the center of this widespread  
18 corruption are Justice Department officials, federal judges, and certain factions within the Central  
19 Intelligence Agency. Aiding and abetting these wrongful acts are the mass media, who are either  
20 threatened by the power of the conspiracy, or who have willingly joined the scheme.

#### 21 **PARTIES/PARTICIPANTS FOR PURPOSES OF RELIEF**

22 48. The number of participants in this awesome RICO enterprise exceeds one hundred  
23 people, plus many others who by their cover-up are implicated in obstruction of justice. Among the  
24 judicial members of the RICO enterprise who either directly committed the wrongful acts, or who  
25 engaged in the Ponzi scheme of judge-protect-judge, were the following: Numerous judges in the  
26 United States District Courts in the Eastern, Northern, and Central Districts of California, the Ninth  
27 Circuit Court of Appeals; the Court of Appeals in the District of Columbia; the Supreme Court of the  
28

1 United States; the U.S. Attorney General and attorney members of the U.S. Department of Justice;  
2 members of the legal fraternity who recognized the judicial scandal and jumped onto the bandwagon  
3 as plaintiff's assets were distributed among the RICO participants.

4 49. The United States of America is liable to Plaintiff because of funding and protecting the  
5 wrongful acts of the Defendants and Participants, and has a vicarious liability, including respondeat  
6 superior. The United States had a legal duty to act when Plaintiff exercised federally guaranteed  
7 remedies, rather than to expand on the existing pattern of record-setting civil, constitutional, and  
8 criminal violations.

9 50. The U.S. Department of Justice is liable, for their obstruction of justice and pattern of  
10 shocking persecution of plaintiff, in retaliation for trying to halt the slaughter arising from the  
11 criminal misconduct of which officials in the Department of Justice are a part.

12 51. The actions by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals qualifies as a criminal enterprise, and  
13 is liable to Plaintiff because of their wrongful acts. They aided and abetted and became an active  
14 member of the conspiracy and wrongful acts.

15 52. The actions by the Ninth Circuit district courts qualify as a criminal enterprise. They  
16 aided and abetted and became an active member of the conspiracy and wrongful acts.

17 53. The actions by the Ninth Circuit Bankruptcy courts qualify as a criminal enterprise.

18 54. The Federal Aviation Administration is liable, for committing the hard-core pattern of air  
19 safety corruption, and their persecution of plaintiff, and other inspectors, to force them to cover up  
20 for major air safety violations and safety problems.

21 55. The National Transportation Safety Board is liable, who obstructed justice, covered up  
22 for the FAA corruption, and falsified official accident reports to absolve the parties committing the  
23 wrongful acts, and to cover up for their own role in the crashes arising from earlier cover-ups.

### 24 SUMMARY

25 56. The activities of the Defendants and the Participants in the formation and execution of the  
26 scheme to inflict great harms upon Plaintiff had a fatal effect upon Plaintiff's business, income,  
27 assets, liberties, freedoms, quality of life. Plaintiff's assets were seized through the corrupt acts of the

Justice Department's proprietary law firm, cooperating state judges, compounded by the Chapter 11  
1 judicial corruption and the solid judicial due process gridlock by every level of the federal judiciary.

2 57. In connection with the activities giving rise to this action, the Defendants acted with  
3 malice, a wanton and reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights, as well as of the rights of others affected  
4 by the federal crimes reported in this Complaint.

5 58. During the relevant times, in connection with the activities giving rise to this action,  
6 Defendants and Participants conspired with each other, and with others unknown, to engage in the  
7 various activities described herein, and aided and abetted one another in these activities. These  
8 activities violated federal civil, constitutional, and criminal statutes and constitutional safeguards.

9 59. During the relevant times, and in furtherance of and for the purpose of executing the  
10 scheme and artifice to defraud, Defendants and Participants on numerous occasions used and caused  
11 to be used mail depositories of the United States Postal Service by both placing and causing to be  
12 placed mailable matter in said depositories and by removing and causing to be removed mailable  
13 matter from said depositories, each such use of the mails in connection with the scheme and artifice  
14 to defraud and to obtain money by means of false pretenses, constituting the offense of mail fraud as  
15 proscribed and prohibited by 18 U.S.C. § 1341. The mail was used by the Friedman law firm in the  
16 perpetration of the sham California law suit (Superior Court, Solano County, No. 83472); in the  
17 retaliation against Plaintiff in the federal courts, including the charges of criminal contempt of court  
18 when Plaintiff filed federal actions reporting the federal crimes, and when Plaintiff exercised  
19 declaratory and injunctive relief remedies seeking relief from the great harms inflicted upon him by  
20 the Defendants and participants.

21 60. During the relevant times, and in furtherance of the scheme and wrongful acts described  
22 herein, the Defendants and Participants seized and diverted Plaintiff's mail from his Alamo,  
23 California Post Office boxes and from his home. They sought support for this seizure of mail on the  
24 letter written by convicted embezzler and officer of the court, trustee Charles Duck. This letter was  
25 written on or about March 1, 1988. The initial seizure of the mail occurred during a four-week  
26 period in 1989. It occurred again during a four-week period in 1990. The seizure of the mail inflicted  
27

1 great harms upon Plaintiff, as he was unable to defend against various law suits, one of which  
2 resulted in a \$500,000 default judgment. (*Moyal v. Stich*, Superior Court, Contra Costa County.)  
3 These acts were part of the overall conspiracy, and violated Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 1701, 1702, 1703.

4 **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

5 (Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c))

6 61. Plaintiff repeats the preceding allegations.

7 62. Defendants, individuals and California and federal government entities, are "persons"  
8 within the meaning of Title 18 U.S.C. Sections 1961(3) and 1964(c).

9 63. Defendants and co-conspirators, are an enterprise within the meaning of Title 18 U.S.C.  
10 Sections 1961(4) and 1962(c). By their acts they affected interstate and international commerce.  
11 They have aided and abetted corruption in the two government air safety agencies, covered up for  
12 airline crash-related corruption, blocked plaintiff's reporting and exposure of the corruption and  
13 related air tragedies. They have contributed to the cause of numerous airline crashes by their  
14 conduct.

15 64. Defendants were each employed by or associated with an enterprise and did conduct or  
16 participate, directly or indirectly, in the pattern of racketeering activity within the meaning of 18  
17 U.S.C. Sections 1961(1)(B) and 1961 (E) and 1961(5) and 1962(c), as follows:

18 A. Multiple instances of obstructing plaintiff's reporting and exposure of corruption  
19 related to a series of fatal airline crashes. Plaintiff had the official responsibilities for making  
20 such determinations of corruption and related airline crashes. He held one of the most  
21 sensitive air safety positions within the FAA, assigned air safety responsibilities for the most  
22 crash-plagued airline in the United States for many years, where internal corruption within  
23 the two government air safety agencies was rampant.

24 B. Multiple instances of violations of the Civil Rights Act, the RICO Act, of the  
25 *Bivens* doctrine.

26 C. Multiple instances of mail fraud in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1341.

27 D. Multiple instances of wire fraud in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1343.

1 E. Multiple instances of wrongful acts invoking the Federal Tort Claims Act, as  
2 government employees committed torts against Plaintiff to silence his attempts to expose the  
3 deeply ingrained air safety corruption.

4 65. By their wrongful acts the Defendants and other parties named in the complaint, among  
5 others, caused Plaintiff to suffer great and irreparable harm that shocks the conscience. The harms  
6 include in this latest phase of the conspiracy the loss of plaintiff's business, his home, his life's  
7 assets, his children's inheritance, constant fear of imprisonment and actual imprisonment, a  
8 suspension of all rights and protections under the laws and Constitution of the United States, and of  
9 the State of California. Plaintiff was injured in an amount exceeding \$20,000,000.

10 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

11 (Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d) by  
12 Conspiracy to Violate 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c))

13 66. Plaintiff repeats all earlier allegations.

14 67. The Defendants and co-conspirators are employed by or associated with an enterprise,  
15 including state and federal government units, and conspired, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §  
16 1962(d) to violate § 1962(c). Defendants conspired to conduct or participate, directly and indirectly,  
17 in the conduct of the enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity within the meaning  
18 of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(a)(B) and 1961(1)(E) and 1961(5) and 1962(c), as follows:

19 A. Multiple violations of the Civil Rights Act.

20 B. Multiple violations of the RICO Act.

21 C. Multiple violations of criminal statutes, including obstruction of justice,  
22 misprision of felonies, accessory before and after the fact.

23 D. Multiple instances of mail fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341.

24 E. Multiple instances of wire fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

25 68. By reason of violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d) committed by defendants, Plaintiff was  
26 injured in an as yet undetermined amount, believed to be not less than twenty Million Dollars  
27 (\$20,000,000.00), within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1964(d).

**THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(Destruction of First Amendment Rights)

69. Plaintiff repeats all of the foregoing allegations and realleges them herein.

70. The defendants' and co-conspirators' wrongful and conspiratorial acts caused Plaintiff to be deprived of his First Amendment rights. They deprived Plaintiff the constitutional right to "petition" the federal government, through federal law suits, for relief from massive violations of federally protected rights. Plaintiff was persecuted for his humanitarian activities in seeking to halt the deaths in airline crashes arising from the pattern of air safety corruption and subsequent cover-up and persecution. Plaintiff was persecuted for exercising rights and protection in the Constitution of the United States and statutory law legislated by the Congress of the United States.

**FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(Destruction of Fifth Amendment Rights)

71. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

72. The defendants' and co-conspirators' wrongful and conspiratorial acts caused Plaintiff to be deprived of the rights and protections under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, including repeated deprivations of due process, equal protection of the law, life, liberty, and property.

**FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(Destruction of Fourteenth Amendment Rights)

73. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

74. Defendants' and co-conspirators' wrongful and conspiratorial acts caused Plaintiff to be deprived of the rights and protections under the Fourteenth Amendment, including due process, equal protection, deprivation of liberty and property, quality of life, privileges and immunities.

75. Defendants and Participants wrongful and conspiratorial acts violated the rights and protections under the Privileges and Immunities Clauses of the Fourteenth Amendments, and under Article IV, § 2, cl. 1.

**SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(False Imprisonment For Reporting Federal Crimes)

76. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

77. Defendants and Participants caused Plaintiff to be falsely imprisoned for exercising rights and protections under the laws and constitution of the United States for (a) reporting a pattern of federal crimes committed by federal officials.

**SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(Punishment For Exercising Federally Guaranteed Rights and Protections)

78. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

79. Defendants and Participants inflicted great and irreparable harms upon Plaintiff for having exercised rights and protections arising under the laws and Constitution of the United States. California judges and justices punished Plaintiff for exercising Constitutionally guaranteed rights to defend, as specifically provided by California statutes. Federal judges and justices, aided and abetted by Justice Department attorneys and officials, misused the offices of the United States to inflict great harms upon Plaintiff for exercising rights, privileges, and responsibilities arising under our form of government and under the laws and Constitution of the United States.

**EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(Destruction Of Other Constitutionally Protected Rights)

80. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

81. Defendants and Participants wrongful and conspiratorial acts destroyed the rights and protections under the Constitution of the United States. These include the right to obtain a divorce; the right to exercise personal jurisdiction on the same basis as available to millions of other people; the right to change residence without losing personal and property rights adjudicated by prior courts and acquired under the laws and Constitution of the United States and of prior jurisdictions of residence.

**NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(Violation of Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, and  
Conspiracy to Violate the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1986)

82. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

83. Defendants and Participants wrongful and conspiratorial acts violated 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985. Rights and protections under the laws and Constitution of the State of California, and of the United States, were repeatedly violated by the defendants, while acting under the color of state law, and acting without personal and without subject matter jurisdiction. Defendants and co-conspirators violated plaintiff's civil rights, civil liberties, and constitutional rights.

**TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(Violation of Bivens Doctrine)

84. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

85. Defendants' and co-conspirators' wrongful and conspiratorial acts violated the *Bivens* doctrine. They acted under color of federal law violated civil and constitutional rights by (a) unlawfully protecting those state actors violating federally protected rights; (b) suspended plaintiff's protections in federal law; (c) punished Plaintiff for exercising federal remedies seeking relief from shocking and outrageous violations committed in the state courts; (d) misused federal courts and the Department of Justice to persecute Plaintiff for his humanitarian efforts to halt the slaughter in airline crashes arising from a pattern of hard-core air safety corruption and related obstruction of justice.

**ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(Federal Tort Claims act)

86. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

87. Defendants' and co-conspirators' wrongful and conspiratorial acts violated rights and protections under the Federal Tort Claims Act. The Defendants were, or are, employees of the United States of America. They include officials within the FAA and NTSB, whose conspiracy acts directly affected subsequent airline crashes. Making the crashes possible by their cover-up and persecution of

1 Plaintiff are officials within the U.S. Department of Justice and federal judges. The Department of  
2 Justice and judicial Defendants misused the powers entrusted to them, to persecute plaintiff, halt his  
3 humanitarian acts. In the process the Defendants and co-conspirators also defrauded the United  
4 States and its citizens. Plaintiff has submitted a claim to the United States for damages.

5 **TWELFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

6 (Deliberate Infliction Of Emotional Stress)

7 88. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

8 89. Defendants and Participants deliberately inflicted upon Plaintiff great emotional stress,  
9 and sought to bring about Plaintiff's deaths from cardiac arrest, knowing that Plaintiff has a serious  
10 heart problem following seven-bypass surgery, and based upon Plaintiff's age.

11 **THIRTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

12 (Deliberate Interference With Future Economic Advantage)

13 90. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

14 91. As a result of the deliberate actions by the Defendants and Participants, Plaintiff's loss of  
15 his ten million dollar estate caused the loss of future income and investments. These losses are  
16 estimated to exceed Fifty Million dollars.

17 **FOURTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

18 (Declaratory Judgment To Declare Federally Protected Rights)

19 92. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

20 93. Plaintiff exercised rights under the Declaratory Judgment Act (Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201,  
21 2202) to declare rights, legal obligations, and protections, under federal law. This includes those  
22 shown in attached Exhibit "I."

23 **FIFTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

24 (FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT--28 U.S.C. § 1346 et seq.)

25 94. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

26 95. Federal officials, including the Defendants and Participants identified in this complaint,  
27 have engaged in a steady pattern of civil, constitutional, and criminal violations against plaintiff.  
28

1 They deliberately and maliciously inflicted great and irreparable harms upon plaintiff. The latest  
2 stage of these harms occurred between 1982 and the present date. The earlier violations occurred  
3 while Plaintiff was a federal air safety investigator.

4 96. Federal employees committing the torts against Plaintiff acted under color of federal law.  
5 Their actions did not constitute due care, and instead, were criminal in nature, and intended to  
6 defraud plaintiff, as well as the United States. These acts are not within the discretionary duties of  
7 the Defendants and participants.

8 97. If Defendants were a private person, they would be liable to the Plaintiff in accordance  
9 with the laws of Nevada and California, where Plaintiff resided during the course of the offenses  
10 committed against him.

11 98. As a result of these wrongful acts, Plaintiff has suffered great and irreparable harm. He  
12 suffered the loss of his businesses, his homes, his income, his income potential. He suffered the loss  
13 of his freedoms, his liberties, the quality of life.

14 99. The offenses against Plaintiff are continuing, having commenced while Plaintiff was a  
15 federal air safety investigator from 1962 through 1967. They resurfaced in 1982, and have escalated  
16 ever since.

17 100. Material fraud have permeated the wrongful acts, and Plaintiff did not recognize the  
18 nature of the fraud and conspiratorial acts until recently.

19 101. The tactics of Ninth Circuit judges and justices have blocked Plaintiff from filing federal  
20 actions addressing these charges. Every action that was filed, was promptly, unlawfully,  
21 unconstitutionally, and corruptly, dismissed, by Ninth Circuit federal judges and justices. Appellate  
22 remedies were prostituted in the same manner as perpetrated by the district court judges.

### 23 **SIXTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

24 (Injunctive Relief)

25 102. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

26 103. Plaintiff requests injunctive relief as provided by attached Exhibit "J."  
27  
28

**SEVENTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(Exercise Court Responsibilities under Title 18 U.S.C. § 4)

104. All of the foregoing allegations are repeated and realleged herein.

105. Break the Daisy Chain of judicial cover-up and obstruction of justice, and take the necessary actions to have Plaintiff's testimony and evidence presented to the court, with the assistance of adequate legal counsel to prepare the voluminous data in the most efficient manner. This obligation arises under, inter alia, Title 18 U.S.C. § 4 (misprision of felony). Then provide for multiple and simultaneous special investigations of each of the multiple areas of corruption brought to this court's attention by this filing:

A. American hostage scandal and its far-reaching implications, including the large numbers of government and non-government people engaged in criminal cover-up.

B. Related crimes, including the reported navy helicopter crash and deaths of three naval officers; looting of savings and loans by CIA factions through CIA-front lending institutions; importation of drugs by CIA aircraft, their sale to the underworld, and funding of CIA covert activities.

C. Rampant Chapter 11 corruption, especially in Ninth Circuit courts.

D. Air disaster related corruption, initially discovered by Plaintiff as part of his official duties.

E. Justice Department's pattern of corruption.

106. This court is under an obligation under federal criminal statutes, under federal civil right statutes, to receive, and to assist, Plaintiff in presenting the large amount of testimony and evidence. The Justice Department has been corrupted to such an extent that this federal agency will not, and cannot, exercise its lawful responsibilities to receive, investigate, and prosecute, the crimes, in which Justice Department officials and attorneys play a major role.

**DAMAGES**

107. Plaintiff requests judgment for damages as follows:

1 That judgment be entered against the defendants, individually, and in their official capacities,  
2 each of them jointly and severally:

3 A. In an undetermined amount not less than Thirty-Million-Dollars  
4 (\$30,000,000.00) upon the violation of the RICO Act.

5 B. In an undetermined amount not less than Thirty-Million-Dollars (\$30,000,000.00),  
6 arising from violations of the Civil Rights Act and the federal equivalent in the *Biven* claim.

7 C. Award of treble damages under RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c) and F.S.A. §  
8 895.07, based upon defendants' racketeering activities.

9 D. Punitive damages.

10 E. Costs of this litigation, in an amount to be determined.

11 F. Equitable relief against Defendants in the form of such injunctive and  
12 related relief after Defendants and Participants as might be appropriate.

13 G. Issue a broad order returning all Chapter 11 assets to Plaintiff that existed  
14 on the September 11, 1987 date that the bankruptcy court refused to accept  
15 jurisdiction, including:

16 H. Return all funds that existed in plaintiff's estates at the date of seizure, and  
17 collected thereafter, minus the amount paid on mortgages existing at that date, and  
18 money directly paid for maintenance and repairs of Plaintiff properties. Require that  
19 the present trustee, his law firm, and the law firm of the prior trustee, reimburse  
20 Plaintiff for all funds that were spent or lost, that would not have occurred if  
21 plaintiff's assets were not unlawfully seized. Orders that the parties shall be jointly  
22 and severally responsible to make a prompt accounting, and pay to plaintiff, within a  
23 reasonable period, not exceeding 60 days.

24 I. Rescind the refinancing of the properties, whose refinancing occurred while  
25 the trustee was unlawfully rendering orders. This refinancing was riddled with fraud  
26 and behind-the-scene kickbacks. The replacement mortgages are to be held void, and  
27 any losses suffered by the parties holding the new mortgages must be sought from  
28

1 Robil, Inc/Superior Home Loans, and the indicted trustee, all of whom participated in  
2 the corrupt scheme.

3 J. Order all orders/judgments rendered in the California action vacated, and  
4 all funds paid as a result of that action returned to plaintiff, plus interest.

5 K. Order the removal of the lis pendens placed upon plaintiff's properties by  
6 the Friedman law firm in the California action.

7 L. Order reinstatement of all federal and state actions dismissed by federal  
8 judges, that are related in any way to the causes of action stated herein, and which  
9 were wrongfully dismissed by federal judges acting in conspiracy.

10 M. Order that all judgments rendered in any state court, after Plaintiff filed Chapter  
11 11, vacated, on the basis that the automatic stay barred such judgments.

12 N. Provide legal protection for Plaintiff while he reorganizes his assets that  
13 were wrongfully and corruptly seized by the Ninth Circuit racketeering activities.

14 O. Other remedies that are proper.

15 108. Order appointment of multiple special prosecutors to investigate plaintiff's charges  
16 relating to the pattern of air safety corruption.

### 17 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

18 109. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues triable as such. Plaintiff also makes  
19 reference to the highly sensitive and extraordinary nature of the misconduct which are too politically  
20 and judicially sensitive for a federal judge to handle in the fashion the Constitution requires. The  
21 total breakdown and abdication of responsibilities by every federal court to this date is prima facie  
22 evidence that these issues will never be resolved without outside non-judicial intervention.

### 23 **IMMEDIATE RELIEF**

24 110. In accordance with the federal court's practice and responsibility to immediately  
25 examine the contents of federal complaints that are filed, in accordance with Plaintiff's request for an  
26 immediate injunctive order, it is requested that the following relief be *sua sponte* ordered:

27 A. Halting of all liquidation of Plaintiff's assets by the trustee and bankruptcy  
28

1 court; reinstatement of the stay occurring with filing of Chapter 11; immediate return  
2 to Plaintiff of all funds held by the trustee; return to Plaintiff of all assets to that  
3 existed when Plaintiff first exercised Chapter 11 relief in May of 1987.

4 B. Halting of all criminal prosecution by Ninth Circuit courts and Justice  
5 Department officials, and ordering such prosecutions vacated.

6 C. Halting of all California proceedings against Plaintiff, which have  
7 flourished as attorneys recognize Plaintiff's inability to defend himself due to seizure  
8 of his assets and orders barring him from seeking relief in any court.

9 D. Recommendation that multi-investigations be conducted of Plaintiff's  
10 charges, recognizing that certain groups cannot be trusted to conduct an honest  
11 investigation. Excluded would be (a) Justice Department officials; (b) many in the  
12 legal fraternity who would be influenced by the judicial cover-up that has occurred to  
13 this date; (c) cover-up by every known check and balance to whom Plaintiff made  
14 these crimes known.

15 Further, the magnitude of the issues suggests a pattern of rampant federal crimes by  
16 numerous federal officials in numerous federal departments, clearly indicating the worst crisis ever  
17 faced by the government of the United States. Something other than a perfunctory dismissal is  
18 obviously required.

19 Dated: May 18, 1991.

20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21 RODNEY F. STICH  
22 Plaintiff in pro se

23 DECLARATION

24 I, Rodney Stich, declare:

25 The allegations stated herein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed this  
26 eighteenth day of May 1991, in the City of Walnut Creek, State of California.

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 Rodney F. Stich  
Plaintiff in pro se

Attachments:

- 1 Exhibit A: Transcript and declaration describing the flight by George Bush to
- 2 Paris in October 1980, in the American hostage continued
- 3 imprisonment scheme.
- 4 Exhibit B: Partial list of civil, constitutional, and criminal violations occurring in the sham
- 5 California action filed by the proprietary law front for the Justice Department, and
- 6 perpetrated by California and federal judges.
- 7 Exhibit C: Secret July 11, 1990 Justice Department report describing the Chapter 11 judicial
- 8 corruption.
- 9 Exhibit D: One of many investigative media articles describing rampant Chapter
- 10 11 judicial corruption.

Evidence of other crimes or possible crimes in plaintiff's possession:

11 \* Pattern of major federal crimes by factions within the Central

12 Intelligence Agency, involving massive fraud and looting of CIA

13 proprietary savings and loans and other lending institutions, for

14 funding CIA covert activities harmful to the United States.

15 \* Pattern of importation of drugs by CIA proprietary airlines, sales

16 to the underworld, and funding of CIA covert and unlawful activities.

17 \* Pattern of unlawful misuse of the Justice Department by DOJ

18 attorneys/officials, against the United States and against its citizens.

19 \* Strong probability of assassination attempt to kill the "smoking

20 gun" navy captain who threatens to expose several of the United

21 States's worst scandals. Instead, three navy officers, including an

22 Admiral, are reported to have been killed by this alleged

23 assassination attempt, which is being kept secret by the combination

24 of Justice Department officials, CIA, and the military.