

1 Rodney Stich
1316 Oakmont Dr. # 6
2 Walnut Creek, CA 94595
3 925-944-1930
4

5 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
6 **FOR THE COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA**

7 STEVE GRATZER,) Case No.: No. MSCO1-05094
8 Plaintiff,)
9 vs.) RODNEY STICH'S
10 DIABLO WESTERN PRESS, INC.;) OBJECTION TO THE
11 RODNEY STICH;) REQUESTED ASSIGNMENT
DOES 1 through 100, inclusive.) ORDER
12 Defendants,) DECLARATION
13) CCP § 708.510
14)
15) Examination Date:
16) Time:
17) Dept. 6

18 RODNEY STICH (Stich) makes the following declaration and objection to Plaintiff Steve
19 Gratzner's proposed assignment order relating to the books written by Stich.¹

20 This lawsuit, and the latest motion by the Guerrini law firm, attempts to halt the distribution
21 of books and is the *latest* attempt seeking to halt the distribution of books exposing very serious
22 corruption in certain government offices. By seeking a court order seizing the funds generated
23 from the sale of the e-books and print books, these lawyers seek to halt Stich from revealing to
24 the American people the corruption and related tragedies that Stich and a group of other
25 government agents had discovered during their professional and official government duties.

¹ Books include the following print and e-books: *Blowback*, *9/11*, and *Cover-Ups*; *David vs. Goliath: 9/11 and Other Tragedies*; *Defrauding America*, two volumes; *Disavow: A CIA Saga of Betrayal*; *Drugging America—a Trojan Horse*; *Explosive Secrets of Covert CIA Companies*; *FBI, CIA, the Mob, and Treachery*; *Lawyers and Judges: American Trojan Horses*; *Subverting America*, two volumes; *Terrorism Against America: External and Internal*; *Unfriendly Skies: 20th & 21st Centuries*.

1 The lawsuit, from which this latest motion arises, is based upon a sham default judgment
2 obtained from a judge in a rural court in South Carolina, using Steve Gratzner as the Plaintiff.
3 That South Carolina lawsuit sought personal jurisdiction over Stich, a California resident, and
4 upon a Nevada corporation, Diablo Western Press, on the basis that information on the
5 worldwide Internet about a book authored by Stich, *Drugging America*, mentioned Steve
6 Gratzner's name. Under this basis, Osama bin Laden could obtain personal jurisdiction over
7 anyone whose name appears on an Internet site!

9 **Latest Legal Filing in a Long Series of Bizarre Legal Actions**

10 The Gratzner lawsuit was the latest in a long series of bizarre legal actions seeking to halt
11 Stich's efforts to expose corrupt activities that Stich initially discovered as a federal agent, and
12 later, that he discovered from other federal agents that provided him information and documents.

13 **False Claim of Libel in a Remote South Carolina Jurisdiction**

14 The lawsuit claimed that the book libeled Steve Gratzner. But the only reference in the book to
15 Steve Gratzner was to report the statements of a physician in Elko, Nevada, Dr. Cserna, also a Lt.
16 Colonel in the Idaho National Guard. Dr. Cserna described to Stich, the author of the book, the
17 retaliatory actions taken against him by Justice Department prosecutors after the Idaho National
18 Guard, in which he was a medical officer, refused to provide a helicopter to attack the Ruby
19 Ridge family.

20 During contacts between Stich and Dr. Cserna, the doctor described statements made to him
21 by a distraught patient, Doris Gratzner. She feared for her life, and told the doctor that if she were
22 found dead that it would have been her husband, Steve Gratzner, who did it. A week later, she was
23 murdered in her home at Elko, Nevada. The doctor's information was never entered into any
24 investigation. Steve Gratzner thereafter moved to South Carolina, where he is reportedly a prison
25

1 guard. The information that the doctor had was never entered into any investigation of Doris
2 Gratzter's murder.²

3 The quotation by Dr. Cserna that was in the book, *Drugging America*, was privileged under
4 law. Also, in no way did the statements imply that Steve Gratzter murdered her (although the
5 information Stich obtained raised a strong probability that Steve Gratzter did in fact murder his
6 wife). The following were the statements in *Drugging America* that mentioned Steve Gratzter:

8 **Imprisoning A Doctor On Perjured Testimony**

9 In 1997 I started receiving information from a physician who had been
10 targeted in a similar gun-charge. Dr. Jed Cserna was an MD with a private
11 practice in Ely, Nevada, and a Lt. Colonel in the Idaho National Guard, with 16
12 years of military service behind him. His problems started in Ely, Nevada, where
13 he was a physician. Cserna told me how it appeared to start. While he was treating
14 a patient, Doris Gratzter, she told him, "If I'm ever shot, Steve [her husband] did
15 it." Dr. Cserna told this to the hospital staff and they said that she always had
16 problems, and this occasion was no different than others. A week later, she was
17 found dead, killed by a bullet wound to the head.

18 Cserna said her husband, Steve Gratzter, was influential in the town, especially
19 with the sheriff, who was responsible for conducting an investigation into his
20 wife's killing. Cserna was now a danger to Gratzter. According to Cserna, false
21 statements were made by a government informant, seeking to justify his position
22 and pay, that resulted in a raid by ATF agent Doreen on his doctor's office. His
23 home was broken into and possessions disappeared. Participating in the ATF raid
24 was the sheriff who he referred to as Burnie (Ronero), who would soon participate
25 in sham charges filed against the doctor.

26 Cserna said her husband, Steve Gratzter, was influential in the town, especially
27 with the sheriff, who was responsible for conducting an investigation into his
28 wife's killing. Cserna was now a danger to Gratzter. According to Cserna, false
29 statements were made by a government informant, seeking to justify his position
30 and pay, that resulted in a raid by ATF agent Doreen on his doctor's office. His
31 home was broken into and possessions disappeared. Participating in the ATF raid
32 was the sheriff who he referred to as Burnie (Ronero), who would soon participate
33 in sham charges filed against the doctor.

34 Government agents arrested Cserna a short time later and charged him with
35 possession of a machine gun and a short-barreled rifle. The guns in question were
36 an AR-15 that was not an automatic, and a Uzi 9mm that had been sold to him
37 with a folding stock and various barrels. He had used both guns two and three

2 This information is now being provided to various sources that either have an interest in unsolved murders, or have a responsibility to investigate them.

1 times a week at the local police firing range and was never questioned about their
2 legality.

3 **DOJ Retaliation Because of Refusing Ruby Ridge Participation?**

4 Cserna told me about an event that happened in Idaho while he was the
5 physician assigned to the Idaho National Guard air wing. During the Ruby Ridge
6 attack that killed Mrs. Weaver and her son, ATF agents had gone to the Idaho
7 National Guard base and told the Commander of the helicopter division, “We are
8 ordering you to activate your choppers to go north and strafe Ruby Ridge.” The
9 colonel refused, stating, “This is against the law, the constitution, and finally,
10 Randy Weaver is an Idaho Citizen. Either you get out or I’ll have you thrown
11 out.”

12 **No Possibility of Money Damages in the Lawsuit: Only Possible Result Was
13 Halting Distribution of Books Revealing Criminal and Subversive Activities**

14 Before the South Carolina law firm of David Collins filed their lawsuit against Stich, the law
15 firm knew that neither the corporation, nor Stich, had any assets that could be seized, except the
16 books, and had no insurance. Despite this knowledge, several dozen attorneys and half a dozen
17 law firms have put hundreds of hours into this action during the past several years, which now
18 includes John Guerrini and his law firm. Someone wants that information quashed.

19 The most probable reason for the enormous expenditure of time and money is to halt the
20 distribution of books that threaten to expose not only the direct participants in the corruption that
21 has had a list of fatal consequences, but also those who assisted in any way the cover-up.

22 **Initial Discovery of the Corruption and Its Relationship to Airline Disasters**

23 Stich’s discovery of corruption in government offices first occurred when, during his
24 professional and official duties with the Federal Aviation Administration,³ he was given the
25 assignment to correct the conditions that at that time were responsible for the worst series of fatal
26 airline disasters in the nation’s history. (Details in *Unfriendly Skies: 20th & 21st Centuries.*)

³ Stich’s aviation experience goes back many years, starting in World War II when he was a Navy pilot, a patrol plane commander, and then an international airline captain.

1 While in that position, and discovering hardcore corrupt and criminal activities related to a
2 series of major airline disasters, Stich acted similar to an independent prosecutor, conducting
3 hearings lasting approximately four months. During those hearings, three additional major airline
4 disasters occurred; each one was caused by the identical air safety problems, corruption, and
5 even criminal activities that Stich had previously reported in writing.

6 The *standard* cover-ups following those hearings caused Stich to leave government service.
7 The crashes and deaths arising from this deep-seated culture of corruption continued, causing
8 Stich to exercise his legal, constitutional and moral responsibilities to report and expose the
9 activities. These activities included filing federal lawsuits⁴ under various federal statutes,
10 including the federal crime reporting statute, Title 18 U.S.C. § 4.⁵

11 Although federal judges admitted the gravity of the charges, and never questioned Stich's
12 credibility, the judges blocked Stich from presenting the information when Department of Justice
13 attorneys filed a motion to dismiss. Stich then filed appeals, where federal appeal judges stated
14 similar concerns. But they refused to order the district court judges to receive the information
15 that they were required to receive by 18 U.S.C. § 4 as part of their *administrative* duties. Stich
16 then filed petitions for writ of certiorari in the U.S. Supreme Court, where the Supreme Court
17 refused to hear the cases. They all became complicit in the following airline disasters that were
18 caused or allowed to occur by the corruption that Stich sought to report. The latest in the long
19 series of airline disasters arising out of the corrupt culture in certain segments of the
20
21

22
23 ⁴ *Stich v. United States, et al.*, 554 F.2d 1070 (9th Cir.) (table), *cert. denied*, 434 U.S. 920 (1977); *Stich v.*
24 *National Transportation Safety Board*, 685 F.2d 446 (9th Cir.) (table), *cert. denied*, 459 U.S. 861 (1982); Amicus
curiae brief filed on July 17, 1975, in the Paris DC-10 multi-district litigation, *Flanagan v. McDonnell Douglas*
Corporation and United States of America, Civil Action 74-808-PH, MDL 172, Central District California.

25 ⁵ Title 18 U.S.C. § 4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of
a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make
known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall
be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

1 government's aviation safety offices were the hijackings of four airliners on September 11,
2 2001.⁶

3 **Circumventing the Cover-Ups Using Funds From Real Estate Investments**

4 Seeking to circumvent the cover-ups, Stich published the first in a series of books in 1978.
5 The first of which was *Unfriendly Skies*, which received many excellent book reviews. Stich
6 used his assets⁷ to fund the publication of the books and his many travels to appear on hundreds
7 of radio and television shows⁸ throughout the United States. These activities threatened many
8 people, including those who blocked the reporting of the corruption.
9

10 **The First in a Long Series of Legal and Judicial Acts That Obstructed Justice**

11 The first in a long series of legal and judicial actions were then taken to halt Stich's activities,
12 focusing primarily on seizing the assets that funded those exposure activities. (Stich's exposure
13 activities gradually encompassed areas of corruption outside of the aviation activities, especially
14 in the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), much of it based upon the information, provided by
15 other former government agents, including agents of the FBI, DEA, FAA, ONI, CIA, who
16 became aware of Stich's activities.

17 That first effort to halt Stich's exposure activities was a sham lawsuit filed by the CIA-front
18 law firm of Friedman, Sloan and Ross in San Francisco in the Solano County courts. That
19 lawsuit was filed in such a way that it could immediately separate Stich from his assets. The
20 lawsuit, filed under the Family Law Act, used a Texas resident⁹ who the lawyers fraudulently
21

24 ⁶ This relation is detailed in two books Stich authored: *Unfriendly Skies: 20th & 21st Centuries*, and
25 *Blowback, 9/11, and Cover-Ups*.

⁷ The assets at that time exceeded \$10 million in real estate.

⁸ To this date, Stich has appeared on over 3,000 radio and television shows.

⁹ Emma Stich of Duncanville, Texas.

1 claimed was married to Stich, claiming that she wanted a divorce and a division of alleged
2 community properties.

3 **Facts and Law Showed the Lawsuit to Be a Sham, and Prohibited**

4 Facts, and large numbers of state and federal law barred that action, and also deprived the
5 California judges of personal and subject matter jurisdiction over Stich and the corporate assets:

- 6 • A prior divorce judgment 18 years earlier, in a bilateral consent divorce proceeding,
7 when neither party resided in California, terminated the marriage and declared there was
8 no community property. That 1966 divorce judgment was later entered as a local
9 judgment in the states of Oklahoma, Texas, Colorado, Nevada, and California. Neither
10 party had seen or talked to each other for years. These judgments were ignored, as if they
11 did not exist.
- 12 • The Texas resident had been buying and selling real estate during the prior 18 years,
13 declaring herself divorced. *After* the California “divorce” action was filed, she *continued*
14 to declare herself divorced, and even filed for social security benefits on the basis of
15 being divorced from Stich. The Social Security administration recognized the 1966
16 divorce judgment and approved her application. The CIA-front law firm continued to
17 state she wanted a divorce. All of this was known to the California judges.¹⁰
- 18 • California law, as well as federal statutes, numerous landmark U.S. Supreme Court
19 decisions, and constitutional provisions, required California judges to recognize each of
20 those divorce judgments and Stich’s unmarried status. Several California code of civil
21
22

23 ¹⁰ California judges in Fairfield, California, included DeRonde, Dennis W. Bunting, Dwight Ely,
24 Michael McInnis; Harold F. Wolters; William Jensen; J. Clinton Peterson; California Court of Appeals
25 judges Donald King, Harry Low, Zerne Haning. All justices of California Court of Appeals (except
Stanley Mosk, who initially sought to support Stich's defenses).

1 procedures deprived California judges of personal and subject matter jurisdiction under
2 the California Family Law Act on the basis of those prior judgments. These statutes also
3 deprived California judges of subject matter jurisdiction in a family law action over the
4 separate properties in corporate names that had been acquired years after the 1964
5 separation and 1966 divorce. All of these statutes were repeatedly violated by the CIA-
6 front law firm and California judges, as lis pendens were filed on all of Stich's assets,
7 causing valuable property to be lost. These unlawful, unconstitutional, and corrupt tactics
8 caused Stsich to be converted from a multi-millionaire to a state of poverty.

- 9 • Whenever Stich exercised any of the many procedural due process rights clearly stated in
10 law, and entered objections, California judges labeled the exercise of these defense rights
11 as frivolous acts
12

13 **Federal Judges Involvement in the Scheme and Cover-Ups**

14 Each of these dozens of repeated violations occurred under color of state law in the
15 California courts. They were *major violations* of federally protected rights, for which there were
16 clear-cut defense remedies in federal courts.¹¹ When Stich exercised these remedies he
17 encountered the same misconduct as the judges in the California state courts, which protected the
18 CIA-front law firm and the California state judges. These federal judges included some who,
19 years earlier, blocked Stich from reporting of the federal crimes related to a series of fatal airline
20 crashes. They had been subsequently named in the book, *Unfriendly Skies*, as being complicit in
21 subsequent airline disasters due to their cover-ups and obstruction of justice.
22

23
24
25 ¹¹ The initial federal defense remedies existed under the Civil Rights Act; the Declaratory Judgment Act and FRCivP Rule 57; constitutional violations, and violations of major rights established in U.S. Supreme Court decisions. Later, the RICO act came into play.

1 The repeated violations of major federal substantive and procedural law by federal judges
2 provided additional support indicating that a major force somewhere in the federal government
3 was orchestrating the legal and judicial actions seeking to halt Stich's exposure activities.

4 Much of the corruption and cover-ups have been omitted in this filing,¹² and focuses
5 primarily upon the latest tactic by Guerrini, using the sham default judgment entered in South
6 Carolina, that was entered as a local judgment by a judge in *this* court. Guerrini seeks to carry
7 out the scheme to block the public from learning of these criminal activities by attaching the
8 income from the sale of the e-books and print books. Seizure of such funds, which would not be
9 income but solely to continue making the books available.

10
11 Judges in *this* judicial district, knowing these facts, and knowing that the South Carolina
12 judge, who rendered the default judgment of \$3 million, (a) had no jurisdiction over Stich; (b)
13 that reporting the statements of Dr. Cserna was not libel and also a protected publication right;
14 (c) that the California anti-slapp statute prevented such an action. The judge entering the sham
15 South Carolina default judgment assisted the scheme, which could include rewarding a wife
16 killer, and ignored the long series of related tragedies, including those that happened on
17 September 11, 2001, the true areas of blame having been kept from the public.

18 **Legal Block That This Court Would Also Have to Violate to Grant Guerrini's Motion**

19 In addition to showing the fraud associated with the \$3 million default judgment that was
20 entered as a local judgment in this court, there are legal blocks to supporting Guerrini's scheme:
21

22 • The Diablo Western Press corporation hasn't existed for several years, and none of the
23 books are owned by that former Nevada corporation. Nor did this court have jurisdiction over a
24

25 ¹² More information on the extent of the corruption and harm to the people and to national interests,
and the schemes to halt Stich's exposure activities, can be found at www.defraudingamerica.com, as well
as a copy of this filing.

1 Stich has recently withdrawn a lawsuit filed in this court against the Guerrini law firm and
2 Steve Gratzer, among others, due to Stich's advancing age and near fatal heart condition.

3 I, Rodney Stich, declare that these facts are true, to the best of my knowledge and belief.
4 Executed this first day of January 2007 in the City of Walnut Creek, County of Contra Costa,
5 State of California.

6 Dated: January 1, 2007.

7
8 _____
9 Rodney F. Stich, in pro se
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Rodney Stich, declare as follows:

I am only the age of 18 years. My mailing address is POB 5, Alamo, CA 94507.

On January 3, 2007, I served the following:

Opposition to the request assignment order.

By placing a true copy in an envelope addressed to

John D. Guerrini,
The Guerrini Law Firm
750 East Green Street
Pasadena, CA 991101

Executed on January 3, 2007, at Walnut Creek, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Rodney Stich