

From the desk of Rodney Stich

P.O. Box 5, Alamo, CA 94507; phone: 925-944-1930; FAX 925-295-1203

DEFRAUDING AMERICA—Encyclopedia of Secret Operations by the CIA, DEA, and Other Covert Agencies

DRUGGING AMERICA—A Trojan Horse

UNFRIENDLY SKIES—History of Corruption and Air Tragedies

DISAVOW—A CIA Saga of Betrayal

Member

Association Former Intelligence Officers (AFIO)

International Society of Air Safety Investigators (ISASI)

Former FAA air safety investigator

Coalition of Whistleblowers Against Government Corruption (CWAGC)

E-mail: stich@defraudingamerica.com

Web sites: www.defraudingamerica.com

Association of National Security Alumni

Lawyers Pilots Bar Association (LPBA)

Former airline captain and Navy pilot

Internet search engine: "Rodney Stich"

www.unfriendlyskies.com

www.druggingamerica.com

February 15, 2000

Dorothy M. Ehrlich, Executive Director

American Civil Liberties Union

1663 Mission Street, Suite 460

San Francisco, CA 94103

Certified: Z 772 858 924

Ref: Legal assistance requested in a lawsuit seeking to focus attention on serious government corruption involving a pattern of judicial civil rights violations.

To Ms. Ehrlich:

In this letter and attachments I'm making the American Civil Liberties Union aware of a pattern of documented civil rights violations that have major adverse implications on a national scale. The ACLU purports to fight violations of civil liberties, and since you have a moral and legal responsibility to focus attention on these matters, and have the ability to do so, I'm sending you details on the problems existing in California and federal courts.

First, I am a former federal investigator, who, with a group of several dozen present and former government agents and deep-cover operatives, has sought to report high-level corruption in government. In an attempt to circumvent the coverups of these matters, I published several highly detailed and documented books in an attempt to inform the public of these matters, and also to motivate groups, such as the ACLU, to exercise their moral and legal responsibilities.

The pattern of judicial violations of civil rights described in part in this letter are well documented in court records. Although these gregarious civil liberty violations were directed at me, the important issue is that the courts were made into a corrupt vehicle to carry them out, and that the same can be done to anyone. The pattern of civil rights violations started out with a sham lawsuit filed against me. This lawsuit was filed after my exposure of high-level government corruption escalated. The carry out the lawsuit, civil right protections had to be violated, and these violations escalated to an unprecedented number and level in the courts.

A few of the serious civil rights violations involving the misuse of judicial positions and the courts are listed here:

- Judicial orders barring me for the remainder of my life from federal court access, and the federal protections specific for the wrongful acts inflicted upon me. (These are obviously unlawful and unconstitutional orders, intended to block my legal defenses.)
- Judicial orders barring me from reporting federal crimes that I sought to report under the clearly worded crime reporting statute, Title 18 USC Section 4. (These orders obstructed justice and violated various federal criminal statutes, as they obstructed justice.)
- Federal judges charging me with criminal contempt of court after I exercised federal remedies under the Civil Rights Act and declaratory judgment statutes (which I combined with a Title 18 USC Section 4

reporting of criminal activities). (This pattern of retaliation constitutes criminal acts under Title 18 USC Section 241 and Sections 1505, 1512, 1513.)

- Sentenced to six months in prison, at the age of 66, denied a jury trial, in retaliation for exercising rights and responsibilities under law.
- Forced to seek relief in Chapter 11 court from the civil rights violations, compounded by the judicial revocation of major civil and constitutional protections, and further compounded by the threat of prison if I exercised these “protected” civil rights.
- Chapter 11 judge then signed two orders seizing my assets, carrying out the original intent of stripping me of the assets that funded my exposure activities. The orders seizing my life’s assets violated the statutory and constitutional requirements for a noticed hearing, the requirement of a hearing, the requirement for legally recognized cause. Further, they were signed after the judge had signed an order refusing to accept jurisdiction, which had never been rescinded. The orders were therefore signed without personal and without subject matter jurisdiction, making them void orders.
- An order was then signed barring me from objecting to the seizure and liquidation of my assets.
- When I filed objections, I was then charged with criminal contempt of court. I was denied an attorney, I was denied the right to testify in my own defense, and then held guilty by Oakland Chapter 11 judge Edward Jellen, and sentenced to federal prison. That sentence was never carried out, as Chapter 11 judges had no authority to sentence anyone to prison on contempt of court charges.
- The series of criminal contempt-of-court charges were in continuous effect from 1987 to 1995.

Civil Rights Violations Combined With Obstruction Of Justice

These judicial attacks repeatedly violated fundamental and important constitutional protections. These attacks followed my attempts to expose high-level corruption that I discovered while a federal investigator for the Federal Aviation Administration. Briefly, the San Francisco law firm filed the lawsuit that was barred by blocks of California and federal statutes, related decisional law, rules of court, and fundamental rights and protections in the Constitution. California judges repeatedly violated these protections in law, and then compounded these violations by violating procedural remedies. Every appeal to higher courts in California was ineffective. Only California Supreme Court justice Stanley Mosk supported my objections to the violations being perpetrated.

I then exercised federal remedies under the Civil Rights Act for the documented civil rights violations and under the declaratory judgment statutes to have a federal judge declare my personal and property rights legally established in seven judgments. Again, federal judges refused to act despite their responsibility to do so.

The ACLU claims that its goals are to protect civil rights. In this convoluted series of continuous judicially perpetrated violations of civil liberties, a pattern is revealed that is far more serious than any case the ACLU has taken in the past. The pattern shows the willingness of judges to convert their positions and the courts into a corrupt arm of government. Making matters even worse, the civil rights violations were closely tied in with obstruction of justice for criminal activities.

Although a federal lawsuit would be relatively straight forward, there is much more to all this. I have written books exposing much of the criminal activities and judicial corruption (Third editions of *Defrauding America* and *Unfriendly Skies*, and first edition of *Drugging America*.) I also have web sites that make reference to some of these matters.

The specific civil rights violations, and the underlying judicial mentality that willingly repeated them, can be addressed in a single lawsuit that must be filed by March 25, 2000. It was on approximately March 25, 1999, that Judge Jellen held the final hearing on the Chapter 11 cases, and the one-year statute of limitations started to run on a federal lawsuit under the Civil Rights Act, Bivens, and civil RICO. That Chapter 11 case is tied in with the 17-year continuous and inter-related pattern of civil rights violations and judicial fraud and provides the vehicle for addressing the entire sordid matter. This is an unprecedented opportunity to get to the heart of some of the worst cases of civil rights violations.

Forced To Exercise Responsibilities Belonging To Attorneys

Many lawyers have known about some segment of these problems. Instead of addressing them as their moral and legal responsibilities required, they covered up for them. Some were afraid of the judicial retaliation that would follow focusing attention on them. Since I expect this legal cowardice and complicity to continue, I

am ready to risk going to prison in an attempt to focus attention on these matters, and also, for the return of what was corruptly taken from me. If I file in *pro se*, after federal judges have rendered unconstitutional orders forever barring me access to the federal courts and voiding forever the rights and protections under the laws and Constitution of the United States. Surely the ACLU recognizes these orders as destructive of the most fundamental and very important protections under our form of government!

I am now in the position of fighting to correct these outrages, at 77 years of age, corruptly stripped of my life's assets by renegade federal judges, while those in the legal profession who have this responsibility, aid and abet the violations by coverups and doing nothing to address the problems. These same judges who perpetrated these outrages upon the United States and upon me will probably charge me again with criminal contempt of court. Thanks to cowardice and opportunists in the legal fraternity, I end up fighting this powerful band of corrupt judges by myself.

Harm Upon America and Americans Will Continue and Escalate

Your group is sufficiently sophisticated to know that failure to provide assistance will make possible the continuation of the pattern of corruption in the courts and other government entities, and that the harm upon the people will continue and even escalate, as I have documented during the past 40 years.

Attached to this letter is a further description of the issues. I am also sending a copy of this letter and attachments to the ACLU home office, so that they are on record as knowing of these matters.

After my signature, I have included more details on the sequence of events surrounding this bizarre sequence of events.

Sincerely,

Rodney Stich

cc: Director, American Civil Liberties Union, 125 Broad Street, New York, NY 10004-2400 (certified Z 317 792 746)

Thumbnail Synopsis

A sham lawsuit was filed against me by a covert CIA-front law firm with the goal of stopping my investigations and exposure of high level government corruption,¹ as described in my books. For it to succeed, California judges had to violate blocks of California and federal statutes, related decisional law, rules of court, and major constitutional safeguards. To succeed, it was necessary to invalidate related procedural due process remedies. These steps, which required violating fundamental protections under our form of government were accomplished.

When I unexpectedly exercised federal remedies specially focusing on the pattern of civil rights violations, it became necessary to extend the judicial involvement to federal judges so that the initial scheme would succeed and that the prior non-judicial and judicial participants be protected. Again, procedural due process remedies were blocked by federal judges. All of these statements are proven by judicial records.

Because of the great harm upon my personal life, and the threat to my \$10 million in real estate assets, and blocked from state and federal remedies, I sought to force a federal judge to meet his or her responsibility under these same federal remedies by filing Chapter 11. (At that time, I did not know of the widespread corruption in the bankruptcy courts involving federal judges, judge appointed trustees, attorneys and law firms.) Again, the prior violations of major federally protected rights and their perpetrators were protected. Orders were then rendered without the statutory and constitutionally required noticed hearing, without any hearing, and violating major protections guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the United States. These orders seized and destroy my life's assets. The final order following this 16-year pattern of continuous and inter-related

violations of blocks of civil and constitutional rights occurred on March 25, 1999, starting the running of the statute of limitations.

The issues in the lawsuit would indirectly focus attention on conduct undermining the integrity of the courts, and directly, seek the return of my \$10 million in assets, and damages against non-judicial and judicial defendants. The lawsuit would be filed under the Civil Rights Act, Bivens, civil RICO, and torts.

MORE DETAILS IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER

The sequence of documented violations of California and federal laws strongly indicate a high-level government scheme to destroy my reporting of the high level government corruption. (This relationship is more easily recognizable by those people who are not illiterate about covert government activities). A brief sequence of documented civil rights violations follows.

- The 17 years of continuous and interrelated wrongful acts against me started with a sham action filed in the California courts² by a San Francisco law firm after my exposure of high-level government corruption was receiving considerable attention. That lawsuit was barred by blocks of California and federal law. It was filed by a San Francisco law firm identified by two sources as a front for the Central Intelligence Agency. (Federal remedies under the Civil Rights Act and declaratory judgment statutes are the specific defenses against these acts, invoking mandatory jurisdiction of federal judges.)
- Six years of repeated violations of blocks of procedural and substantive law by California judges. Every procedural due process remedy in the California courts were violated by California judges at every level of the California judicial system. (The California judges and CIA law firm are liable under the Civil Rights Act and constitute a criminal enterprise under federal decisional law.)
- Judicial retaliation by California judges when lawful remedies were exercised . When I exercised due process procedural remedies against the violations of blocks of state and federal laws. (The documented retaliatory acts after I exercised due process remedies violated federal criminal statute, Title 18 USC § 241.) This retaliation consisted of being ordered to pay huge financial sanctions to the San Francisco law firm perpetrating the civil rights violations.
- Refusal by federal judges to hear the lawsuits filed under the Civil Rights Act (for the many clear civil rights violations) and declaratory judgment statutes (to declare my personal and property rights already established 20 years earlier in seven divorce judgments) This was the original scheme filed by a CIA-front law firm intended to strip me of the assets that funded my investigations into and exposure of high-level government corruption. Federal judges had a duty to hear the lawsuits and a duty to provide relief from the documented civil rights violations. They violated numerous responsibilities, including Title 42 USC § 1986, by refusing to halt the civil rights violations that they had the duty and the ability to halt.³
- Retaliation against me by federal judges for exercising due process remedies. This retaliation included criminal contempt of court charges (based on earlier unlawful and unconstitutional orders forever barring me access to federal courts and voiding, for me, the right to federal remedies for the violations of federally guaranteed rights. (This retaliation for exercising due process remedies is a crime under Title 18 USC § 241. State and federal judges are not excluded from this statute. Further, any offense committed by a judge or other government official is a greater offense because of the position of trust held by that violator of federally protected rights.)
- Repeated refusal by federal judges to receive evidence of high level criminal activities. This pattern of corrupt government officials was discovered by me and my group of government agents and deep cover operatives. Federal crime-reporting statutes, including Title 18 USC Section 4, required federal judges to receive the evidence. Refusal to receive it, when required by statute, constituted a cover up and obstruction of justice.

- Retaliation by federal judges against me for attempting to provide evidence of such criminal activities. This retaliation consisted of judge charging me with criminal contempt of court from 1987 through 1995. This judicial and Justice Department retaliation violated federal criminal statutes, making them guilty of aiding and abetting, becoming guilty as the principles, and obstructing justice. Among the federal criminal statutes that they violated included Title 18 USC §§ 1505, 1513, 1514, 2, 4, which encompasses obstructing justice, becoming guilty with those perpetrating the underlying crimes, conspiracy statutes, mail and wire fraud, among others.)
- Seventeen year pattern by California and federal judges violating civil and criminal statutes as they sought to protect the scheme against me that was concocted by a CIA-front law firm. (They all became part of the conspiracy that spanned 17 years, made the courts into corrupt enterprises, emasculated blocks of California and federal laws and constitutional safeguards, and acted as a cancer spreading its corruption throughout the United States.)

Seeking Relief In Chapter 11 From Civil Rights Violations

- That sham California lawsuit and the pattern of judicial violations forced me to seek relief from the civil rights violations by filing Chapter 11 for my personal and for my corporate assets.. I sought to force a federal judge to provide protections under the Civil Rights Act and the declaratory judgment statutes. (At that time I was unaware of the pattern of judicial corruption in the bankruptcy courts, which I detail and document in the third editions of *Defrauding America* and *Unfriendly Skies*.)
- In 1988, California judges rendered a “divorce” judgment, holding my assets to be community. That judgment is void. There was no marital relationship to terminate. There was no community property. The judge lacked personal and subject matter jurisdiction. And blocks of California and federal laws were violated. It is important for recognizing the big picture that the so-called California divorce action was a bizarre scheme that required the involvement of the CIA-front law firm, the cooperation of California judges, and then unexpectedly, the cooperation of federal judges. Each step resulted in even worse civil rights violations, for which there were clear federal remedies that had to be denied to me so as to protect everyone who became involved. Rendering orders barring me access to federal courts was the tactic used to protect themselves and strip me of the protections under our form of government.
- Extension of judicial corruption into Chapter 11 courts. These wrongful acts forced me to seek relief from the civil rights violations in Chapter 11 courts. After I made a Chapter 11 filing for my personal assets and a Chapter 11 filing for my corporate assets (containing \$10 million in real estate), Chapter 11 judges enlarged upon the earlier judicial violations. Chapter 11 judges not only refused to provide relief from the federal violations that they were required to address, but also duplicated the pattern set in the U.S. district courts. Las Vegas bankruptcy judge Robert Jones signed orders seizing my assets that violated fundamental protections under the statutes and Constitution of the United States. The \$10 million of real estate in the corporate Chapter 11 cases were seized and ordered liquidated:
 - Without the required noticed hearing at which I would have the opportunity to defend.
 - Without any hearing that was required under law and constitutional due process.
 - Without legally required cause.
 - Absence of personal and subject matter jurisdiction. While there had been an order rendered and signed refusing to accept jurisdiction. The orders seizing the assets were therefore rendered without personal and without subject matter jurisdiction.
 - Rendering orders barring me from filing objections to seizure and liquidation of my life’s assets. Oakland Chapter 11 judge Edward Jellen continued the practice by district court judges of rendering unlawful and unconstitutional orders barring me from filing any objection to the pattern of lawless acts that were destroying my life’s assets.
 - Charging me with criminal contempt of court for exercising statutory and constitutional protections. When I filed objections, Judge Jellen charged me with criminal contempt of court and sentenced me to federal prison. (This retaliation continued the felony retaliation under Title 18 USC § 241.)

- In March 1999, the 17 years of continuing and interrelated civil rights violations came to an end, when the last order was rendered in the Chapter 11 cases, closing them down. This last order started the running of the statute of limitations for filing against multiple judicial and non-government defendants a lawsuit under the Civil Rights Act, Bivens, and RICO, which must be filed by the end of March 2000.

Indirectly, Exposing Major Corruption In Government

- The lawsuit would be filed in my name, seeking financial damages from multiple judicial and non-judicial defendants, but would raise issues of major national importance, focusing on judicial corruption.

Direct Monetary Issues

- Returning to me the \$10 million in assets. The basis for this unusual remedy is that Las Vegas Chapter 11 judge Robert Jones signed the orders seizing my assets after he had rendered and signed an order refusing to accept jurisdiction. In addition, the orders seizing my \$10 million in real estate assets were signed without any hearing, without notice of hearing seizing assets and a chance to defend, without cause, and in a documented patten of judicial fraud. In addition to orders rendered without jurisdiction being void, the blatant violations of major protections under the Constitution and laws of the United States violated due process and are voidable orders.
- Damages against the judicial and non-judicial defendants. The damages against attorneys and the California judges would be on the basis of Civil Rights Violations and fraud, and against federal judges under the Civil Rights Act and Bivens. All defendants would meet the criteria under civil RICO.
- Declaratory judgments to:
 - Declare the validity of the six divorce judgments that were ignored in order to carry out the scheme in the California courts and which ultimately led to the loss of \$10 million in real estate assets, loss of income, loss of investment increase, and years of personal harm.
 - Declare the statutory and constitutional right of any citizen to change residence without losing previously adjudicated and acquired personal and property rights. It was after I changed residence to California that rogue California judges carried out the scheme by the covert CIA law firm that violated this right and protection. Anyone, who had been previously divorced, could suffer, decades later, the same loss of personal and property rights, a violation that is approved by a published California court of appeals decision that must be overturned.
 - Declare the right to report federal crimes to a federal judge under Title 18 USC § 4, and for the judge to receive such evidence. This is one of the most important remedies available to the citizens of the United States for reporting corruption by government personnel, especially when Justice Department personnel are covering up (such as Justice Department coverup of CIA drug smuggling).
 - Declare as federal crimes the judicial orders blocking me, or any other citizen, from reporting high level federal crimes that I and my group of government agents discovered and sought to report, as required to be reported under federal crime-reporting statutes.
 - Declare as unlawful and unconstitutional the judicial orders denying me, or any other citizen, the right to federal court access seeking relief from violations of federally protected rights. These judicial orders, upheld by the Ninth Circuit court of appeals, shows the extent of the judicial corruption in Ninth Circuit courts.
 - Declare as a criminal acts the filing of criminal contempt charges against me, or any other citizen, and the subsequent six-month prison term for having sought to report high-level criminal activities and for seeking relief under the Civil Rights Act and the declaratory judgment statutes.

- Declare as invalid and unconstitutional orders barring anyone from federal court access and voiding for whistleblowers the protections in the laws and Constitution of the United States.
- Although these acts were taken against me, they reveal a pattern of federal judges engaging in obstruction of justice, emasculating the most elementary protections under our form of government, and using the courts in a corrupt manner.

Criminal Statutes Violated By Defendant Judges

- California and federal judges violated federal criminal statutes as they retaliated against me for exercising federal defenses and for seeking to report high-level government corruption. These statutes include, for instance:
 - Federal judges blocking the reporting of federal crimes that I sought to report under the clearly worded crime reporting statute, Title 18 USC Section 4.⁴
 - Federal judges⁵ compounded the obstruction of justice tactics by sentencing me to federal prison in retaliation for seeking to provide evidence of the crimes in which they themselves were implicated through coverups. These acts not only obstructed justice, but were felonies as a result of the retaliation.
 - It is a felony to retaliate against any person for exercising remedies against civil rights violations. The judicial retaliation for exercising federal remedies seeking to halt the severe personal and financial harms were criminal acts under federal law,⁶ and made worse by the fact that they were in a position of trust to prevent what they themselves were perpetrating.
 - It is a felony under various criminal statutes to retaliate against any person who seeks to report federal crimes.⁷
 - These crimes against me were also crimes against the United States. (Coverups, obstruction of justice, converting courts into criminal office, misusing judicial positions to subvert the laws and Constitution of the United States.)
- These wrongful acts are only the tip of the iceberg. More information on corruption involving federal personnel can be found on the web site: www.defraudingamerica.com/lawsuit.html, and in the books that I have written.

• Sequence In Federal Court

- Sequence appears to be as follows:
 - Present documentary evidence of the pattern of wrongful acts during the 17-year continuing and inter-related violations of state and federal law.
 - Present the law that was violated.
 - Show role of each individual defendant that is documented.
 - Show federal judges blocking the reporting of federal crimes.
 - Show federal judges aiding and abetting a pattern of civil rights violations.
 - Show all defendants as directly and indirectly involved in destroying civil and constitutional protections.
 - Show the felonious judicial retaliation against a person for exercising the federal requirement and federal rights to report federal crimes and to exercise federal remedies when suffering retaliation for reporting the crimes.
 - Show the conversion of judicial positions and the courts into corrupt enterprises.
 - More information at www.defraudingamerica.com/lawsuit.html.
 - A complaint is ready for fine tuning and filing.

Putting You On Notice Of Document Judicial Corruption

This letter is an opportunity for your group to exercise some semblance of moral and legal responsibility. Or, to further document the legal fraternity coverups. I am 78 years old. Isn't it about time someone in the legal fraternity shows some concern about the national welfare instead of taking financial advantage of the corrupt activities!

Sincerely,

Rodney Stich

ENDNOTES

-
1. The criminal activities, detailed and described in books written by me, include:
 - Drug smuggling by the Central Intelligence Agency, as proven by evidence provided to me by former heads of secret CIA airlines and financial institutions, and much more.
 - Widespread corruption in the bankruptcy courts in which judges, law firms, and attorneys, participate in corruptly looting assets, in clear violation of statutory and constitutional protections.
 - And much more, as described in my books.
 2. That sham lawsuit was given a dissolution of marriage title and filed under the California Family Law Act. There were many problems:
 - Six divorce judgments showed the parties as divorced for the prior two decades, with all personal and property matters settled.
 - Both parties had been declaring themselves divorced since the 1966 bilateral consent divorce and continued to do so after the 1982 filing in the California courts.
 - Judges lacked personal and subject matter jurisdiction under the Family Law Act on the basis of any one of the prior divorce judgments (which includes the entry of the 1966 divorce judgment as a local judgment in Solano and Contra Costa counties).
 - Federal law (statutory, decisional, and the Constitution) required recognition of the personal and property rights established in those six judgments).
 - And much more.
 - The lawsuit was a bizarre scheme to strip me of the assets that funded my exposure of high level government corruption, and came from a source that controlled state and federal judges.
 3. **Title 42 U.S.C. § 1986. Action for neglect to prevent conspiracy**

Every person who, having knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned in the preceding section [42 USCS § 1985], are about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglects or refuses to do so, if such wrongful act be committed, shall be liable to the party injured, or his legal representatives, for all damages caused by such wrongful act, which such person by reasonable diligence could have prevented; and such damages may be recovered in an action on the case; and any number of persons guilty of such wrongful neglect or refusal may be joined as defendants in the action, and if the death of any party be caused by any such wrongful act and neglect, the legal representatives of the deceased shall have such action therefore, and may recover not exceeding five thousand dollars damages therein, for the benefit of the widow of the deceased, if there be one, and if there be no widow, then for the benefit of the next of kin of the deceased. But no action under the provisions of this section shall be sustained which is not commenced within one year after the cause of action has accrued.
 4. **Title 18 U.S.C. § 4 (misprision of felony).** "Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined not more than \$500 or imprisoned not more than three years, or both."
 5. These judges included Milton Schwartz, Raul Ramirez, Magistrate John Moulds, USA David Levi who later became a federal judge. (All were attached to the U.S. District Court, Sacramento.)

6. Title 18 USC § 241.

7. **Title 18 U.S.C. § 241. Conspiracy against rights of citizens**

If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any citizen in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; ... They shall be fined ... or imprisoned ... or both;

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1505. Whoever corruptly ... influences, obstructs, or impedes or endeavors to influence, obstruct, or impede the due the proper administration of the law under which any pending proceeding is being had before any department or agency of the United States ... shall be fined not more than \$5,000 or imprisoned not more than five years, or both.

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1512. Tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant—

(b) Whoever knowingly uses intimidation or physical force, or threatens another person, or attempts to do so, or engages in misleading conduct toward another person, with intent to —

(1) influence, delay or prevent the testimony of any person in an official proceeding:
shall be fined ... or imprisoned ... or both. [1988 amended reading]"

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1513. Retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant. (a) Whoever knowingly engages in any conduct and thereby causes bodily injury to another person or damages the tangible property of another person, or threatens to do so, with intent to retaliate against any person for—(1) the attendance of a witness or party at an official proceeding, or any testimony given or any record, document, or other object produced by a witness in an official proceeding; or (2) any information relating to the commission or possible commission of a Federal offense ..."