

## From the desk of Rodney Stich

P.O. Box 5, Alamo, CA 94507; phone: 925-944-1930; FAX 925-295-1203

Activist, and author of *Defrauding America*, *Drugging America*, *Unfriendly Skies*, *Terrorism Against America*  
*Lawyers and Judges—American Trojan Horses* (Print and E-book formats)

Association Former Intelligence Officers (AFIO)

Association of National Security Alumni

International Society of Air Safety Investigators

Lawyers Pilots Bar Association (LPBA)

Web sites: [www.defraudingamerica.com](http://www.defraudingamerica.com)

[www.unfriendlyskies.com](http://www.unfriendlyskies.com)

[www.druggingamerica.com](http://www.druggingamerica.com)

December 19, 2003

Thomas Kean, Chairman

National Commission on Terrorist Attacks

301 7<sup>th</sup> St SW, Room 5125

Washington, DC 20407

Certified: 7002 0860 0003 9592 6467

Ref: Former government insider making a record revealing corrupt activities as primary blame for success of September 11, 2001, hijackers

To Mr. Kean,

Your announcements in the press caused me to send this. In your December 18, 2003, announcement you stated:

*“This is a very, very important part of history, and we’ve got to tell it right. ... This was nothing that had to happen. ... The commission is committed to finding the truth about the terrorist attacks. As you read the report, you’re going to have a pretty clear idea what wasn’t done and what should have been done. They failed. They simply failed. ... There were visa people who let these people in. There were F.B.I. people who, when they got reports from Phoenix and Minnesota and elsewhere, didn’t think they were important enough to buck up to the higher-ups. ... There were security officers at the airports who let these people onto airplanes even though they were carrying materials that weren’t allowed on the airplanes.”*

All of these statements relate to relatively minor offenses; not to the criminal misconduct that I documented and brought to your attention in earlier letters. You know that every day that the corrupt activities that made 9-11 possible that remain unaddressed subjects the United States and its people to continuation of the cause and effect that has gone on for years. The events of 9-11 were simply the latest consequence in the aviation sector enabled by the corruption that I documented over the years. The same culture of corruption continues to inflict great harm upon American interests and American lives in other areas, and refusal to address these matters aids and abets them and the catastrophic consequences.

Your refusal to respond to such serious statements—especially since 3,000 deaths are clearly associated with problems in these areas—makes you culpable in various ways, including future consequences.

To make a record, I provide the following highlights of the documented corruption that I had already brought to your attention. You are smart enough to know that covering up these matters subjects the United States to more of the same. You therefore become complicit in the consequences and the offenses that make the consequences possible.

Evidence that I have acquired, starting while I held a key aviation safety position in the federal government, reveals deep-seated corruption within the government’s aviation safety offices and within various offices of the Justice Department. This evidence reveals the corruption that blocked the federal government from meeting its aviation safety and security responsibilities. Inspectors’ reports on the urgent need to take known preventative actions for ongoing hijackings were blocked, with numerous fatal

consequences. Government aviation safety agents suffered retaliation when they reported safety problems and needed corrective actions. Members of Congress and other government offices refused to act when government agents told them about these problems, thereby becoming complicit in the many tragic consequences—including those occurring on 9-11.

The following is a very brief history of problems that enabled many prior aviation disasters to occur, including those of 9-11.

- As a federal aviation safety agent with an unusual aviation background<sup>1</sup> I was given the assignment to correct the conditions responsible for the worst series of airline disasters in the nation's history. One of these was the world's worst air disaster at that time, a United Airlines DC-8 jet that crashed into New York City, close to where the World Trade Center was later built. The same deep-seated corruption and coverups that made those crashes possible enabled the aviation disasters of 9-11 to occur.
- My discoveries in this assignment, and the usual coverup in government offices, caused me to act as an independent counsel. The record of the hearings consisted of approximately 4,000 pages.
- Shortly after leaving government service, refusing to work under such corrupt conditions, I filed several federal court actions<sup>2</sup> to report the federal crimes involved in a series of airline crashes. These were filed pursuant to the mandatory requirements of the federal crime reporting statute (18 U.S.C. § 4) and the right of any citizen, under 28 U.S.C. § 1361, to seek a court order requiring a federal official to perform his or her mandatory duties and halt unlawful conduct.
- Although federal judges admitted the gravity of the charges, they refused to act, claiming these were matters for Congress to investigate. While congressional responsibility was true, federal judges had the mandatory *administrative* duty to *receive* reports of federal crimes, and also to exercise their judicial decision-making authority to determine whether orders should be rendered requiring federal officials to perform a mandatory duty and halt unlawful conduct. (Members of Congress also admitted the gravity of the charges, but refused to act.)
- These coverups insured the continuation of the corruption and related fatal airline disasters—including hijackings. Each of these lawsuits was taken to the U.S. Supreme Court, which simply added to the list of people in government covering up.
- The attention resulting from my various attempts<sup>3</sup> to circumvent the coverups caused many former government agents to contact me with information and evidence of corruption in the government's aviation safety offices and in other government offices and covert government operations.
- In accordance with my responsibilities under the federal crime reporting statute, and my right as a citizen, I filed the first of several subsequent lawsuits in federal courts in 1986.
- The mandatory requirement to receive the reports of criminal activities was blocked by federal

---

1 Over 60 years in aviation, commencing as a Navy patrol plane commander in World War II, international airline captain with broad range of experience, federal aviation safety agent, author of numerous books on aviation safety, guest and expert on over 3,000 radio and television shows.

2 *Stich v. United States, et al.*, 554 F.2d 1070 (9th Cir.) (table), *cert. denied*, 434 U.S. 920 (1977)(addressed hard-core air safety misconduct, violations of federal air safety laws, threats against government inspectors not to report safety violations and misconduct); *Stich v. National Transportation Safety Board*, 685 F.2d 446 (9th Cir.)(table), *cert. denied*, 459 U.S. 861 (1982))(addressed repeated criminal falsification of official airline accident reports, omitting highly sensitive air safety misconduct, making possible repeated crashes from the same sequestered problems); Amicus curiae brief filed on July 17, 1975, in the Paris DC-10 multi-district litigation, *Flanagan v. McDonnell Douglas Corporation and United States of America*, Civil Action 74-808-PH, MDL 172, Central District California.(addressing the long standing FAA misconduct, of which the cover-up of the DC-10 cargo door problem was one of repeated instances of tragedy related misconduct); *U.S. v. Department of Justice*, District of Columbia, Nos. 86-2523, 87-2214, and other actions filed by Stich seeking to expose and correct the powerful and covert air disaster misconduct.

3 Seeking to circumvent the coverups, I used my assets to publish informational books, appear as author and expert on thousands of radio and television shows throughout the United States, and give lectures.

judges as they promptly dismissed the lawsuits without a hearing and without receiving the evidence. In addition to blocking reports of criminal activities, unlawful and unconstitutional orders were rendered that permanently barred me access to the federal courts. That order terminated for me the ability to report criminal activities in government offices and deprived me the rights, protections, and defenses guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the United States to defend against attempts to silence me. This situation continues to this very day.

- As evidence of other criminal activities was received, I again sought to report them to a federal judge. Justice Department prosecutors—who had for years been blocking these crimes from being exposed—filed motions to block my reports, and charged me with criminal contempt of court for having filed papers in federal court when judges had issued orders forever denying me access to the courts.<sup>4</sup>
- I was denied a jury trial, and approaching the age of 70, federal judges sent me to federal prison for six months, including eight weeks in solitary confinement. While in prison, federal judges issued unlawful and unconstitutional orders seizing my life's assets. These orders violated the legal and constitutional requirement of a hearing, notice of hearing, and legal cause. Further, orders were rendered that barred me from filing objections to the seizure and liquidation of my assets. When I filed objections, they were unfiled and I was charged several times with criminal contempt of court for seeking court relief under the void judgment doctrine and other federal remedies. These acts were felonies under criminal statutes related to obstruction of justice and retaliating against a former federal agent and witness.
- Remember this sequence of criminal misconduct as you consider your obligations to report the primary blame for the deaths of 3,000 people on 9-11.
- The latest attempt—prior to September 11, 2001—to report the criminal activities that would shortly enable the aviation disasters of 9-11 to occur was a filing in the U.S. district court at Reno, Nevada.<sup>5</sup> U.S. district judge Edward C. Reed blocked the reporting of the criminal activities and ordered me to pay financial sanctions for attempting to make such report.
- After 3,000 people were killed on 9-11, I again sought to report under the crime reporting statute the corruption that made their deaths possible. That action was filed in the U.S. district court at Washington, D.C.<sup>6</sup>
- Judge Henry Kennedy, without notice, dismissed that lawsuit shortly after it was filed. I then filed a notice of appeal<sup>7</sup> and filed an appeal brief on December 9, 2002. Without addressing the appeal brief, Court of appeal judges<sup>8</sup> in the District of Columbia dismissed the appeal, stating that a 1991 order by former judge Stanley Sporkin (former CIA legal counsel) permanently terminated my right to file any papers in district or appellate courts.
- In an attempt to circumvent the endless series of blocks I filed a lawsuit in the U.S. district court<sup>9</sup> for the Southern District of New York, at New York City, where most of the 9-11 lawsuits have been filed. The court received that lawsuit on August 15, 2002. Instead of promptly filing it as required when the filing fee was paid and all papers in order, Chief Judge Michael Mukasey delayed filing it

---

4 The lawsuit combined the attempt to report the criminal activities with using federal defenses against massive civil rights violations perpetrated in a sham lawsuit filed by the CIA-front law firm of Friedman, Sloan, and Ross (San Francisco) that sought to destroy the assets (\$10 million in real estate) that funded my exposure activities. That lawsuit and other legal tactics were parallel schemes to halt my exposure activities, and was aided and abetted by California and federal judges. Very ingenious!

5 U.S. district court filing at Reno, Nevada, case number CV-N-00-152-ECR (PHA)

6 U.S. district court, Washington, DC, action 1:02CV01172s.

7 Court of Appeals, District of Columbia, 02-5240.

8 District of Columbia court of appeal judges Douglas Ginsburg, David Sentelle, Raymond Randolph.

9 U.S. district court, New York, 03 CV 7405.

for over 12 months. Weeks before it was finally filed on September 22, 2003, Judge Mukasey prepared a five-page dismissal order that was filed the same day that he allowed the lawsuit to be filed. That dismissal order was rampant with misstatements of facts and law, omissions of major factors, and insured the continuation of the deep-seated deadly corruption in government offices. A notice of appeal was filed and an appeal brief is being prepared<sup>10</sup> The long history of appellate judges protecting the corruption and the coverups insures that the coverup will continue.

Most of what is stated in this letter is supported by documented evidence. I, and some other former government agents, are available to testify before any meaningful open hearing. These are former government agents from the CIA, FBI, DEA, Customs, and elsewhere. Think of the consequences if you continue the coverup to protect arrogant and corrupt personnel in government offices.

If you continue to cover up these matters—and you surely will, based upon the record—more disasters will result. You cannot cover up for these matters and assume that the corruption can be corrected without publicity. This type of misconduct is not the type that is eliminated by watered-down reports of “failings” that will now be corrected.

More information is at my web sites, and additional information is found in my print and e-books. These books are a non-profit attempt to provide the public with information on the extensive corruption in government offices.

Every day that you fail to provide an adequate forum to provide testimony and evidence from me and other former government agents continues the deeply entrenched corruption that continues the threats and the catastrophic consequences.

Sincerely,

Rodney Stich

---

<sup>10</sup> U.S. court of appeals, New York, Docket No. 03-6244.